



**Paper: “Dynamique spatio-temporelle d’occupation du sol dans la zone agropastorale de la commune de Korahane, centre Nord de la république du Niger ”**

**Submitted: 08 January 2025**

**Accepted: 03 May 2025**

**Published: 31 May 2025**

Corresponding Author: Souleymane Adamou Dila

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n14p111

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Blinded

-----  
Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

YES. The title is correct.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

The abstract is clear and contains the objective, methodology and results

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

The article contains several spelling and grammatical errors.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

YES. The study METHODS are clearly explained.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

The text contains several mistakes.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

YES. The CONCLUSION and summary is accurate and supported by the content.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

YES

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

### **Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Reread the text and correct any mistakes. Reinforce the analysis in the discussion section.

-----

-----

Reviewer C:

Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

The title is clear.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

There are a lot of gramtica errors and mistakes in the article. Authors have to correct.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

NO, Sutydu methods a have a lack transparency and methodoly

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

The body of the paper is not clear. Mistakes about remote sensing disciplines have to be corrected

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

Not accurate.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

The list of REFERENCES is not comprehensive and appropriate. About 75% of the references are wrong. Authors should remove all authors that are not cited in the body. And some authors cited in the body are not referenced in the list. About 25%. Authors habe ti have a look at those references

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

1

***Please rate the BODY of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

***Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

***Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

1

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Return for major revision and resubmission

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

As reviewer, i have made comment on the manuscript for the authors.

-----