



Paper: “The Role of BRICS in Reshaping the Global Order: Confronting Western Hegemony in a Multipolar World”

Submitted: 19 May 2025

Accepted: 15 June 2025

Published: 30 June 2025

Corresponding Author: Rawa M. Mahmood

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n17p24

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Robertas Pukenis
Vytautas Magnus University, Lithuania

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer Name: Robertas Pukenis	
University/Country: VDU/ Lithuania	
Date Manuscript Received: 05/28	Date Review Report Submitted: 06/05
Manuscript Title: The Role of BRICS in Reshaping the Global Order: Confronting Western Hegemony in a Multipolar World	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0610/25	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: ok	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: ok	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: ok	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
The name could be shorter :The role of BRICS in the current world arena	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results	4
The summary describes the volume of the essay well. However, it is not enough to say in one sentence "Despite internal disparities, BRICS collectively promotes a transition toward a multipolar world order". In short words, one should say about the tense relations (or even war) between India and China on the border. Egypt did not allow Iranian ships through the Suez Canal. So how effective can BRISC be in practice? And even in two words, I would like to know whether this is a positive creature, BRISC, or not?	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
The language seems good and I don't see any mistakes	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	
The study methods are explained clearly. But besides what is written, the creation of a legal state, the development of democracy best unites states. Then they become similar in the laws of the internal order. Currently, only India is a democratic state. It should be briefly described for each state, what is the degree of democracy? Do all BRISC member states seek to oppose the US unipolar world? Maybe there are other motives for their membership in BRISC, such as trade, loans, etc.?	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	
The study method is clearly and comprehensively presented. However, ideologically it is clearly biased, for example, it is said that the West uses double standards, but the authors like Russian standards, when civilian homes in Ukraine are bombed, war itself is a crime against humanity. So it is necessary to answer what the final form of BRISC will be: democratic or dictatorship, which is more ugly than the unipolar world (except for today's USA, under Donald Trump, who repeats V. Putin's tactics).	

<p>Regardless of the economic advantages of the unipolar world, human rights are strongly defended there. Do the authors like the persecution of millions of Uyghurs in China-ruled Urgustan. And the destruction of Tibetan culture is also beyond criticism, because BRISC chooses a policy of non-interference in internal affairs. UNFORTUNATELY, in international law, human rights are a heritage of humanity, removed from purely internal affairs. We have the right to criticize and even intervene to stop genocide in Ukraine or Tibet. The West is accused of starting wars, so why is China preparing to invade the already separately governed Republic of Taiwan democratic??? In short, the authors' erroneous, biased approach to the essence of the matter.</p>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
That's fine, but with so much material, information, and conclusions presented in the text, they are modest. And of course, they must also include the negative part of BRISC.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
Sources used sufficiently and well referenced.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	ok
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: