



Paper: "Dance and social engagement in motion: An Emotional Text Mining analysis"

Submitted: 23 May 2025 Accepted: 02 July 2025 Published: 31 July 2025

Corresponding Author: Gabriele Caruso

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n19p1

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Stefan Vladutescu University of Craiova, Romania

Reviewer 2: Mustak Ahmed

University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh

Reviewer C: Recommendation: Revisions Required The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. The title of the manuscript reflects its content. The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results. The abstract correctly retains the objective, theme, method of investigation and research results. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. It would be good for the manuscript to be read by a native English speaker. The study METHODS are explained clearly. The method used is appropriate to the topic approached. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors. The results are relevant. The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content. The conclusions of the manuscript are based on the investigation carried out. The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate. It is necessary to update the bibliography with 2-4 relevant works from 2024, 2025. References stay in 2023! Please rate the TITLE of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] 4 Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4 Please rate the METHODS of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4 Please rate the BODY of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] **Overall Recommendation!!!** Accepted, minor revision needed Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): This manuscript needs to be completed with a "Future research" section. It is necessary to update the bibliography with 2-4 relevant works from 2024, 2025. Reviewer D: Recommendation: Accept Submission The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. Clear The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results. Abstract clearly represents objects, methods and results There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. No mistake is seen The study METHODS are explained clearly. Yes. Clearly The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors. Clear and does not contain errors The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content. Supported The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate. Appropriately included Please rate the TITLE of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] 4 Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Please rate the METHODS of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] Please rate the BODY of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] Overall Recommendation!!!

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Accepted, no revision needed
