Corresponding Author: M.D. Dilé Diallo

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Mamam Toleba
Université d'Abomey-Calavi, Bénin

Reviewer 2: Nanfouhoro Paul-Kévin Ouattara
Université Peleforo Gon Coulibaly, Korhogo, Côte d'Ivoire

Reviewer 3: Nirmal Betchoo
Université des Mascareignes, Republic of Mauritius

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025*
This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd !

Reviewer Name: Dr. Ir. Mamam S. TOLÉBA	
University/Country: Université d'Abomey-Calavi (Bénin).	
Date Manuscript Received: 19.04.25	Date Review Report Submitted: 12.05.25
Manuscript Title: **Évolution de la pauvreté en Guinée entre 2007 et 2019 : croissance économique et disparités urbain-rural.**	
ESJ Manuscript Number: -71.04.2025-	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: **Yes**	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: **Yes** You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: **Yes**	

Evaluation Criteria:
Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	**4**
(Please insert your comments) ***Revoir la rectification proposée dans la disposition du titre !***	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	**3**
(Please insert your comments) ***Voir et tenir compte des corrections effectuées par l'Examinateur ! Préciser les localités de l'étude, la période ainsi que les informations complémentaires demandées.***	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	**4**
(Please insert your comments)	

Une lecture silencieuse est nécessaire en vue de redresser certaines phrases dont la clarté n'est pas appréciable. Une erreur qui a été relevée plus haut, doit être corrigée dans tout le texte et non seulement à l'endroit indiqué !	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	**4**
(Please insert your comments) *Méthodologie acceptable mais peut mieux faire !*	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	**4**
(Please insert your comments) *Quelques coquilles dues au manque de concentration sont notées tout au long du texte. Ces genres de coquilles altèrent parfois la compréhension du récit ! Vouloir bien les revoir.*	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	**4**
(Please insert your comments) *Mêmes observations que dans les chapitres précédents.*	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	**3,5**
(Please insert your comments) *Bibliographie à relire avec attention. La plupart des références citées dans le papier sont à revoir, d'abord de par le mode de citation et les titres des documents, puis ensuite au niveau des années de parution et du nombre de leurs pages.*	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

(1)   Suggestions, which would improve the quality of the paper but are not essential for publication.
Certaines observations sont faites bien entendu dans le texte comme dans la fiche de suivi ; bien vouloir en faire une bonne application.
(2)   Changes which must be made before publication
L'Auteur est prié de prendre en considération les corrections qui lui sont proposées par l'Examinateur ; si possible, les améliorer.
Bon courage à l'Auteur.

Reviewer Name: **Nanfouhoro Paul-Kévin OUATTARA**	
University/Country: **Université Peleforo Gon Coulibaly, Korhogo, Côte d'Ivoire**	
Date Manuscript Received: **06 Mai 2025**	Date Review Report Submitted: **07 Mai 2025**
Manuscript Title: **Évolution de la pauvreté en Guinée entre 2007 et 2019: croissance économique et disparités urbain-rural**	
ESJ Manuscript Number: **19222**	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: **Oui**	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: **Oui**	

Evaluation Criteria:
Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
Le titre est suffisament clair et es ten conformité avec le contenu du papier	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	3
Le résumé ne présente pas les méthodes de travail	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
Très peu de faute d'ortographe et de grammaire	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
Les methodes d'analyse son bien détaillées et expliquées	

5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
Les résultats sont conformes à l'approche méthodologique annoncée par l'auteur	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
La conclusion est trop sommaire et ne retrace pas le contenu du papier	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
Les références sont très riches. Elles son ten adequation avec le sujet traité	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	**X**
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Pas de commentaire

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Pas de commentaire

Reviewer Name: Nirmal Kumar BETCHOO	
University/Country: Université des Mascareignes, Republic of Mauritius	
Date Manuscript Received: 17/09/2025	Date Review Report Submitted: 21/05/2025
Manuscript Title: ÉVOLUTION DE LA PAUVRETE EN GUINEE (2007-2019) : CROISSANCE ECONOMIQUE ET DISPARITES URBAINES/RURALES."	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0471/25	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: YES	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: YES You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: YES	

Evaluation Criteria:
Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	**3**
Suggested Title: "Évolution de la pauvreté en Guinée (2007-2019) : Croissance économique et disparités urbaines/rurales."	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	**4**
The abstract effectively summarizes the study's aims, methods, findings, and significance. It presents a clear overview of the economic context, poverty trends, and the methodologies employed. However, it could benefit from clearer indicators of the statistical analysis methods used to enhance the rigour of the claims made.	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	**4**

Just check grammar consistency and spelling throughout text.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3.5
The methodology is well-structured, detailing data collection from various national surveys and employing robust statistical techniques.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
The findings articulate the trends in poverty incidence, depth, and severity accurately, indicating an alarming trend of increasing rural poverty. However, it could benefit from visual data representation (e.g., graphs or charts) to graphically illustrate the shifting poverty metrics across the periods analyzed, making it easier to grasp the findings at a glance.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3.5
The discussion interprets the findings in a meaningful way, linking economic growth to paradoxical increases in poverty levels, particularly in rural areas. However, it may strengthen its analytical depth by exploring potential policy responses or by connecting its findings to case studies from other countries that experienced similar economic trajectories.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3.5
The references listed provide a good range of sources A little more for this type of paper plus sourcing database from World Bank with latest figures.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	**X**
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The analysis is thorough, and you've provided strong statistical backing for your conclusions. However, to enhance clarity:
- Use visual aids, where possible, to represent data (graphs, tables) more effectively.
- Break up longer paragraphs into shorter ones for better readability.
- Ensure logical flow between sections so that the reader can easily follow the argument.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: