



Paper: "Caractéristiques structurales et écologiques des populations de quelques espèces d'arbres à valeur commerciale des forêts classées de Sota et de Wari-Maro au Bénin"

Submitted: 03 February 2025 Accepted: 22 August 2025 Published: 31 August 2025

Corresponding Author: Nicolas Atchade Samuel

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n24p264

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Comlan Mawussi Koudegnan Laboratoire de Palynologie, Algologie et Paléoécologie, Facultés des Sciences, Université de Lomé, Togo

Reviewer 2: Atsu K. Dogbeda Hlovor Université de Lomé, Faculté Des Sciences, Département de Botanique, Laboratoire de Recherche Forestière, Togo

Date Manuscript Received: June 30, 2025	Date Review Report Submitted: July 11, 2025
Manuscript Title: Caractéristiques structurales et commerciale des forêts classées de Sota et de Wa	écologiques des populations d'espèces d'arbres à valeur ri-Maro au Bénin
ESJ Manuscript Number:0233.07.2025	-
You agree your name is revealed to the author of	of the paper: Yes (if is necessary for your journal).
	aper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: the "review history" of the paper: Yes (if is necessary

Evaluation Criteria:

University/Country: Université of Lomé/ Togo

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
The title should be reworded to place more emphasis on structural characterization, as the author	

did not clearly present results on the ecological parameters of the plant spec	cies studied.
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	3
Yes, the abstract presents these three parts.	
However, the issue was not clearly presented in the abstract; the author simple abstract with this sentence: "populations of commercially valuable species of then announced the objective of the study. Is it because there is pressure that conducted? What type of pressure is it? And why the choice of certain species."	are under pressure"; and this study needs to be es?
It would be even better if the author could enrich the introduction to his abs	tract.
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
Overall, the author has made an effort to avoid most of the mistakes. However to correct the few that are limited to some clumsy words, overly long sentences	2 0
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
The author has focused his methodology more on the structure of forests, whe the title.	nich does not fully reflect
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
If the author wishes to keep the wording of the title of the manuscript as it is supplement it with results on certain ecological parameters. Since when disc characteristics, the author should normally take into account climatic factor biotic factors and topographical factors.	cussing plant
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
This conclusion only took into account the structural aspect of the study. The the author did not (at all) present clear results obtained on parameters char of the plants targeted for this study.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
 All references cited by the author are illustrative of the study conducted. More than 70% of these cited references were published before 2015. The author may supplement the list with other, more recent and illustrative 	references.
Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):	
Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): If the author wishes to keep the title given to his manuscript, he is required to complete the Results section

by presenting data obtained on the ecological factors influencing the two selected forest formations.