



Paper: "Kyste du cholédoque compliqué d'une cirrhose biliaire secondaire chez un nourrisson de 12 mois"

Submitted: 23 April 2025 Accepted: 30 August 2025 Published: 30 September 2025

Corresponding Author: Amavi Folly

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n27p93

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Jean Luc Kambire Service de chirurgie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Régional de Ouahigouya, Burkina Faso

Reviewer 2: Ousseini Adakal Université Dan Dicko Dankoulodo de Maradi, Centre Hospitalier Régional de Maradi, Niger Reviewer A: Recommendation: Revisions Required The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results. **RAS** There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. Il y a en effet des fautes grammaticales et d'orthographes et les corrections ont été proposées en annexes The study METHODS are explained clearly. **RAS** The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors. Quelques fautes de syntaxe ont été aussi corrigées The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content. La conclusion est à réamnager pour ne pas pas contredire la dernière phrase du commentaire The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate. **RAS** Please rate the TITLE of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 5 Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] 3 Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] Please rate the METHODS of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] 4 Please rate the BODY of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

```
Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
Overall Recommendation!!!
Accepted, minor revision needed
Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
Les observations et suggestions se trouvent dans les commentaires
Reviewer B:
Recommendation: Revisions Required
The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.
"Kyste du cholédoque compliqué d'une cirrhose biliaire secondaire : à propos d'un cas et revue
de la littérature"
title adequate
The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.
There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.
The different parts of a clinical case are clear and well written.
The study METHODS are explained clearly.
the observation of the case describes the clinical facts well
The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.
good
The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.
well written but suggestions for improvement are made in the text
The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.
yes but must comply with ESJ recommendations
Please rate the TITLE of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
3
Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.
```

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

```
Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The author should take into account the remarks in the text to improve his manuscript
