



Paper: “Facteurs associés à l’occurrence récurrente des épidémies de choléra dans la municipalité de Bujumbura”

Submitted: 15 July 2025

Accepted: 18 August 2025

Published: 30 September 2025

Corresponding Author: Ménéodore Karimumuryango

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n27p103

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Yapo Antoine Gbocho
Allassane Ouattara de Bouaké, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Francis Tognon
University of Parakou, Republic of Benin

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: GBOCHO Yapo Antoine	
University/Country: Allassane Ouattara de Bouaké – Côte d'Ivoire	
Date Manuscript Received: 09 / 06 / 2025	Date Review Report Submitted: 20 / 06 / 2025
Manuscript Title: Facteurs associés à l'occurrence récurrente des épidémies de choléra dans la municipalité de Bujumbura	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 06	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Oui	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Oui	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. (Please insert your comments) Le titre est assez clair mais nous suggérons que le mot <i>récurrente</i> soit retiré car il alourdit inutilement le titre	4
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results. (Please insert your comments) Le résumé est assez clair et couvre l'ensemble du manuscrit. L'objectif et les méthodes d'étude sont clairement expliqués Les principaux résultats sont mentionnés.	4
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	2,5

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Quelques fautes de grammaire, d'orthographe et de vocabulaire à corriger Quelques problèmes d'expression à revoir	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Les méthodes et le matériel sont bien détaillés et clairement expliqués (un peu trop détaillé de mon point de vue, mais cela donne la possibilité à tous de comprendre)	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3,5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Les résultats sont corrects et bien détaillés. Ils sont par ailleurs complétés par une discussion qui montre la pertinence de l'étude. Il est cependant possible d'améliorer la présentation des résultats issus des tableaux.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La conclusion est conforme au contenu de l'article	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Les références sont complètes et appropriées	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Il s'agit d'une étude épidémiologique correcte du point de vue de la méthode utilisée et riche en enseignements. Nous demandons cependant aux auteurs de prendre en compte les corrections mineures :

- Améliorer si possible le titre en retirant le mot *récurrente* car il alourdit inutilement votre titre**
- Corriger les fautes de grammaire, d'orthographe et de conjugaison**
- Corriger les problèmes d'expression (phrases maladroites)**
- Améliorer quelques problèmes de format (espacement, justification, etc.)**
- Améliorer si possible la présentation des résultats issus des tableaux (mettre les chiffres au début de chaque résultat donnerait plus de sens aux phrases et aux analyses)**

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: TOGNON TCHEGNONSI Francis	
University/Country: University of Parakou Republic of Benin	
Date Manuscript Received: 16.8.2025	Date Review Report Submitted: 28.8.2025
Manuscript Title: Facteurs associés à l'occurrence récurrente des épidémies de choléra dans la municipalité de Bujumbura.	
Factors Associated with the Recurring Occurrence of Cholera Epidemics in Bujumbura Municipality	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0768/25	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	4
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results. <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	4
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4

Minor corrections have been made to the text in review mode.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
References 3, 4, 5, 8, and 13 need to be reviewed as they are incomplete.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

References 3, 4, 5, 8, and 13 need to be reviewed as they are incomplete.
In addition, minor corrections have been made to the text in review mode