

The U.S.-Iraq War's Legacy in Global Terrorism and the Taliban's Return to Power

Tamuna Sadaghashvili, PhD candidate
International Black Sea University, Georgia

[Doi:10.19044/esj.2025.v21n29p161](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2025.v21n29p161)

Submitted: 01 August 2025

Accepted: 08 October 2025

Published: 31 October 2025

Copyright 2025 Author(s)

Under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

Cite As:

Sadaghashvili, T. (2025). *The U.S.-Iraq War's Legacy in Global Terrorism and the Taliban's Return to Power*. European Scientific Journal, ESJ, 21 (29), 161.

<https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2025.v21n29p161>

Abstract

This article explores the enduring consequences of the 2003 U.S.–Iraq War, emphasizing its influence on global terrorism and its indirect role in enabling the Taliban's return to power in Afghanistan in 2021. The study aims to analyze how U.S. strategic decisions in Iraq reshaped regional security dynamics, fostered the rise of extremist organizations, and undermined stabilization efforts in Afghanistan. Methodologically, the research employs a qualitative, historical–analytical approach, combining process tracing, comparative case studies, and thematic content analysis of policy documents, secondary literature, and international reports. The findings demonstrate that post-invasion decisions - such as dismantling Iraq's military institutions, marginalizing Sunni communities, and fueling sectarian polarization - produced structural conditions that allowed radical groups, notably al-Qaeda in Iraq and ISIS, to consolidate power and expand transnationally. At the same time, the protracted U.S. engagement in Iraq diverted resources and attention from Afghanistan, weakening state-building initiatives and creating opportunities for the Taliban to reorganize, rebrand, and ultimately reclaim authority following the U.S. withdrawal. These outcomes illustrate the paradoxical effects of military intervention, whereby efforts to enhance global security unintentionally accelerated radicalization and insurgent resurgence. The article concludes that a deeper understanding of these interconnected conflicts is necessary for developing sustainable counterterrorism strategies and more responsible foreign policy frameworks.

Keywords: U.S.-Iraq War, Global Terrorism, Taliban, Post-9/11 Conflicts, Security Studies, Counterterrorism, Foreign Intervention, Political Instability

Introduction

The ripple effects of the U.S.-led intervention in Iraq have profoundly influenced the early 21st century. Originally presented as a pre-emptive strike to eliminate weapons of mass destruction and disrupt terrorist networks, the 2003 U.S.-Iraq War quickly turned into a long-lasting conflict with wide-reaching effects. While the main goal - to remove Saddam Hussein - was achieved quickly, the aftermath threw Iraq into chaos, tearing apart its fragile social fabric and fueling a cycle of sectarian violence. The dismantling of the Ba'athist regime, the disbandment of the Iraqi military, and the installation of a U.S.-backed transitional government created a power vacuum that extremist groups exploited rapidly. Instead of reducing terrorism, the invasion unintentionally increased it, leading to the rise of insurgent networks and eventually, the Islamic State. (ISIS) (A, 2016).

The Iraq War's strategic errors had effects that extended well beyond Iraq's borders. As the U.S. military's focus shifted to Iraq, Afghanistan - the original front of the "War on Terror" - began to receive less attention. Early successes against the Taliban and Al-Qaeda after 2001 faded without ongoing international support and comprehensive state-building efforts (Byman, 2015). Over the following twenty years, Afghanistan faced continued political turmoil, corruption, and insurgent resurgence. In 2021, the Taliban took advantage of reduced U.S. presence and widespread disillusionment among Afghans, retaking control in a dramatic reversal of two decades of intervention. (Cockburn, 2015).

This paper examines the long-term effects of the U.S.-Iraq War on global terrorism and how it indirectly led to the Taliban's resurgence. It contends that the war not only destabilized the Middle East but also fostered conditions that encouraged radicalization throughout the region. The dissemination of jihadist ideologies, supported by online networks and driven by anti-Western sentiment, thrived amidst the chaos left behind. The analysis explores how flawed foreign policies, short-term military goals, and a lack of cultural insight influenced the rise of modern extremist movements.

Additionally, the research places the Taliban's resurgence in the context of broader failed interventions. It examines how U.S. involvement in Iraq and Afghanistan is interconnected, highlighting the impact of fragmented counterterrorism efforts and inconsistent diplomacy (Khalilzad, 2016). The study provides insight into how the legacy of the Iraq War still affects the Middle East, South Asia, and the global arena - altering security dynamics, shaping international relations, and questioning the effectiveness of Western military interventions. (Ricks, 2007).

By examining these developments holistically, this paper aims to contribute to a nuanced Understanding of how the U.S.-Iraq War influenced the development of global terrorism and indirectly enabled the Taliban's return, raising important questions about the future of international conflict management, counterinsurgency, and foreign policy.

This research lies at the intersection of International Relations, Security Studies, Terrorism Studies, and Middle Eastern Politics, offering an interdisciplinary approach to understanding the enduring consequences of the U.S.-Iraq War. The study draws primarily from the discipline of International Relations (IR), employing theories of realism, constructivism, and critical security studies to interpret the geopolitical and ideological transformations that followed the 2003 invasion of Iraq. Within IR, the research engages with the post-9/11 security paradigm, scrutinizing how foreign interventions reshape the global security architecture and facilitate the transnational diffusion of extremist ideologies. The emergence and consolidation of terrorist organizations such as Al-Qaeda in Iraq (AQI), and later ISIS, are examined through the lens of asymmetric warfare and power vacuums resulting from regime change and state fragility. The discipline of Security Studies contributes to understanding how the reconfiguration of security priorities - particularly the U.S.'s focus on counterterrorism - led to unintended consequences, including the emboldening of non-state actors and the neglect of long-term stabilization in Afghanistan. These oversights arguably set the stage for the Taliban's resurgence. In addition, the research incorporates insights from Terrorism Studies, focusing on the evolution of terrorist networks, their ideological adaptations, recruitment mechanisms, and operational expansions in response to Western military interventions. The Iraq conflict is treated as a catalyst for the decentralization and globalization of jihadist terrorism. Middle Eastern Politics provides the necessary regional and cultural context, particularly regarding the interplay between local grievances, sectarian divides, and foreign occupation. The research pays close attention to how the war altered political balances, fueled insurgency dynamics, and facilitated the strategic repositioning of groups like the Taliban. By integrating these disciplines, the study offers a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of how the U.S.-Iraq War not only transformed Iraq and Afghanistan but also reshaped the global contours of terrorism and counterterrorism in the 21st century.

Methods

This research adopts a qualitative and interpretive methodological framework designed to capture the complex and long-term consequences of the 2003 U.S.-Iraq War, particularly its relationship to global terrorism and the Taliban's return to power. A qualitative approach is most appropriate

because the subject matter involves historical processes, geopolitical shifts, and ideological transformations that cannot be fully explained through quantitative or statistical modeling. The methodology is structured as a sequential, multi-layered design consisting of three main stages: historical-political analysis, comparative case study, and thematic content analysis.

Stage One: Historical–Political Analysis

The first stage reconstructs the trajectory of U.S. involvement in Iraq from 2003 through the rise of ISIS and considers its regional spillover effects. This includes identifying the key turning points in U.S. policy, such as the dissolution of the Iraqi military and the introduction of sectarian governance structures, and tracing their unintended outcomes. The analysis also situates these developments within the broader global “War on Terror,” with particular attention to how U.S. focus on Iraq affected parallel strategies in Afghanistan. Primary materials - including official government statements, declassified reports, and international organizational documents - were combined with secondary scholarly works to establish a chronological and causal narrative.

Stage Two: Comparative Case Study Design

The second stage applies a comparative framework to examine Iraq (2003–2017) and Afghanistan (2001–2021) as two interconnected but distinct cases. Iraq is analyzed as a case of state collapse and insurgent proliferation following foreign intervention, whereas Afghanistan is treated as a case of prolonged counterinsurgency culminating in insurgent resurgence. The comparative method is justified because it enables the identification of both similarities (e.g., the exploitation of power vacuums by extremist groups) and differences (e.g., patterns of foreign support, sectarian mobilization, and legitimacy crises). By placing the two cases in dialogue, the research highlights causal linkages across contexts, showing how strategic overextension in Iraq indirectly compromised stabilization in Afghanistan.

Stage Three: Thematic Content Analysis

The third stage systematically examines a wide range of textual sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, think-tank reports (RAND, Brookings, Carnegie, Chatham House), policy speeches, and media accounts from reputable outlets (BBC, Al Jazeera, The Guardian). The material was coded both deductively, using categories derived from international relations and conflict theory (e.g., “power vacuum,” “radicalization,” “proxy warfare”), and inductively, based on themes emerging from the data. This dual coding approach was supported by NVivo 12 software to ensure consistency and transparency. To enhance reliability, a sample of coded materials was

reviewed by a secondary coder, yielding a Cohen's Kappa coefficient of 0.82, which indicates strong intercoder agreement.

Source Selection and Temporal Scope

Sources were selected with attention to credibility, diversity, and temporal breadth. The analysis incorporated literature spanning 2001–2024 to capture both immediate and long-term consequences. Emphasis was placed on works produced after 2018 to integrate more recent academic perspectives and policy evaluations. By balancing primary government and NGO reports with scholarly analyses, the research mitigates potential bias and situates findings within broader academic debates.

Analytical Framework

The study is anchored in international relations theory, drawing on realism (to explain power competition and intervention logics), constructivism (to examine ideological and identity-driven radicalization), and critical security studies (to interrogate the unintended consequences of intervention). These theoretical lenses provide conceptual clarity to the analytical variables of the research:

- Global terrorism (operationalized as the transnational spread of extremist networks following regime collapse),
- Taliban resurgence (understood as the re-establishment of political and territorial control after foreign withdrawal), and
- Power vacuum dynamics (defined as institutional voids that facilitate insurgent mobilization).

Limitations

While the research design provides a structured and rigorous foundation, limitations remain. Some military records are classified or incomplete, constraining access to the full decision-making process. Establishing causality in complex geopolitical contexts is necessarily interpretive and must be treated with caution. Furthermore, Afghanistan's rapidly evolving political landscape after 2021 requires that conclusions be understood as provisional, subject to reassessment as new data emerges. Despite these limitations, the triangulation of sources, comparative design, and systematic coding ensures that the findings rest on a credible and analytically sound basis.

Results

The research shows that the U.S.-Iraq War led to extensive and interconnected consequences that greatly influenced the global terrorism environment and impacted Afghanistan's political course, resulting in the

Taliban regaining power. These effects encompass geopolitical, ideological, security, and humanitarian aspects.

The Transformation of Global Terrorist Networks

The dismantling of Iraq's state apparatus after the 2003 invasion led to a power vacuum that insurgent groups, especially al-Qaeda in Iraq (AQI), exploited. AQI later developed into ISIS (Jones, 2017). This change marked a shift from loosely affiliated terrorist cells to groups with territorial control, capable of projecting power regionally and globally (Gerges, 2016). The Iraq War also accelerated the decentralization and spread of jihadist networks throughout Europe, North Africa, and Southeast Asia (Byman D. L., 2015).

Increased Radicalization and Recruitment

The view of the war as illegitimate - heightened by the failure to locate weapons of mass destruction (WMDs) and reports of abuses like those at Abu Ghraib prison - fuelled resentment and radicalization throughout the Muslim world (Lynch, 20123). Extremist groups used these narratives to portray their actions as defensive jihad, resulting in more local and foreign fighters being recruited. (Hoffman, 2014).

Regional Destabilization and Expansion of Iran's Influence

The ousting of Saddam Hussein, a Sunni leader, resulted in the rise of Shi'a political groups closely aligned with Iran, boosting Tehran's influence across Iraq and the broader Middle East (Katzman, 2009). This change deepened Sunni-Shi'a sectarian conflicts, which helped fuel the emergence of Sunni extremist groups like ISIS (Levitt, 2015). Additionally, the breakdown of centralized authority intensified proxy wars in Syria and Yemen, further complicating regional security issues. (Lister, 2017).

Diverted Strategic Focus and the Taliban's Regrowth

The U.S. military's emphasis on Iraq diverted attention from stabilization initiatives in Afghanistan, enabling the Taliban to regain its operational strength over nearly twenty years (Rashid, 2010; Giustozzi, 2019). This oversight helped the Taliban rebrand as a nationalist movement and ultimately regain power after the U.S. withdrawal in 2021. (Jones S. G., 2021).

The Erosion of Trust in Western-Led Interventions

The controversial rationale behind the Iraq War, along with its human and economic toll, undermined the credibility of Western interventions worldwide (Parker, 2006; Chomsky, 2007). This skepticism from the public and politicians grew, affecting the rapid and chaotic U.S. withdrawal from Afghanistan (Malkasian, 2021).

Long-Term Humanitarian Consequences

Decades of conflict led to mass displacement, infrastructure destruction, and trauma passed down through generations in Iraq and Afghanistan (Group, 2018). The reemergence of the Taliban reversed advances in women's rights and education in Afghanistan, highlighting the profound societal effects of these conflicts (2020, 2020).

Discussion

This research highlights the significant and complex effects of the U.S.-Iraq War on global terrorism trends and political changes in Afghanistan, leading to the Taliban's comeback. The war served as a crucial turning point, altering terrorist networks, shifting regional power structures, and changing international views on military intervention.

First, the shift of terrorist groups from decentralized cells to territorially controlled entities, like ISIS, highlights the unintended effects of dismantling the Iraqi state. This change challenged conventional counterterrorism strategies by producing actors driven by both ideology and territorial goals, making international security efforts more complex. The evidence supports research that shows the collapse of Iraqi institutions provided ideal conditions for extremist ideas to develop and extend beyond the country's borders (Gerges, 2016).

Secondly, the increase in radicalization and recruitment underscores the importance of narratives in conflict dynamics. The perceived injustice of the invasion, along with images of civilian suffering and abuses, served as effective propaganda for extremist recruitment globally (Hoffman, 2014). This indicates that military actions lacking apparent legitimacy can unintentionally strengthen violent groups by giving them a strong ideological justification.

Thirdly, Iran's regional destabilization and growing influence highlight the complex relationship between sectarian politics and international conflicts. The strengthening of Shi'a groups in Iraq altered the regional power balance, deepening sectarian tensions and fueling proxy wars. This situation shows how local power vacuums can trigger chain reactions, affecting wider geopolitical rivalries (Jeremiah Goulka, 2009).

Shifting strategic attention from Afghanistan to Iraq had considerable effects on the Taliban's resurgence. The extended focus on Iraq drained resources and political commitment, hindering efforts to stabilize Afghanistan and allowing the Taliban to reemerge. This illustrates common critiques of U.S. foreign policy, highlighting the dangers of overextension and the importance of consistent, well-planned strategies in conflict areas (Rashid, 2010).

Furthermore, the decline in trust toward Western-led interventions has had enduring effects on global security policy. The controversial basis of the

Iraq War and its expensive aftermath have increased public skepticism, limited future military actions, and hindered international collaboration. This mistrust likely played a role in the disorderly U.S. withdrawal from Afghanistan, highlighting the lasting impact of Iraq on later foreign policy choices (Malkasian, 2021).

Ultimately, the long-term humanitarian impacts emphasize the human toll of these conflicts. The escalating crises in Iraq and Afghanistan show that warfare affects more than just immediate violence, causing deep societal trauma and hindering development. The Taliban's resurgence, in particular, risks undoing advancements in human rights and gender equality, underscoring how fragile these gains are when reliant on international backing.

In summary, this research highlights the significance of understanding the lasting and interconnected effects of military interventions. It shows how events in one area can influence regions and events over time, affecting ideologies, power dynamics, and humanitarian conditions. Future policies should incorporate these insights to prevent repeating cycles that lead to instability and conflict.

Conclusions

This research examines the lasting effects of the U.S.-Iraq War, highlighting its transformative influence on global terrorism and its indirect but significant role in the Taliban's return to power in Afghanistan. Originally seen as a necessary response to perceived threats from weapons of mass destruction and terrorism, the war has unfolded into a complex story of unintended consequences, regional instability, and strategic errors.

The findings show that the war not only dismantled the Iraqi state but also enabled violent non-state groups like al-Qaeda in Iraq and later ISIS to rise. This represented a significant shift in global terrorism, moving from dispersed, secretive cells to a hybrid approach of insurgency and governance that could control territory and carry out international attacks. The ideological void and disorder in post-invasion Iraq created ideal conditions for jihadist recruitment, radicalization, and foreign fighters.

At the same time, the war triggered a significant geopolitical shift in the Middle East. The overthrow of a Sunni-led regime and the emergence of Shi'a political groups allied with Iran altered sectarian dynamics and heightened regional tensions. These developments destabilized Iraq and extended into Syria, Yemen, and other areas, contributing to a widespread atmosphere of insecurity and proxy conflicts.

Importantly, the U.S. prioritized Iraq, which diverted attention away from long-term stabilization in Afghanistan. This shift allowed the Taliban to rebuild, rebrand, and slowly regain influence - leading to their complete return

to power after the U.S. withdrew in 2021. The close links between these conflicts show how focusing on Iraq indirectly compromised progress in Afghanistan, with significant repercussions for regional and global security.

Beyond the battlefield, the Iraq War diminished global confidence in Western-led interventions. The lack of WMDs, ongoing insurgency, and humanitarian costs challenged the moral grounds for foreign actions and shifted global opinions on U.S. foreign policy. This increasing doubt was evident in the hesitant and chaotic withdrawal from Afghanistan, highlighting how the Iraq War's repercussions continue to influence international decisions.

Finally, the human toll of these conflicts remains immense. Iraq and Afghanistan still face humanitarian crises, societal division, and generational trauma. The decline in human rights, especially for women and marginalized groups in Afghanistan, highlights that military successes do not automatically lead to lasting peace or progress.

In conclusion, the impact of the U.S.-Iraq War extends beyond national borders and military timelines. It has significantly reshaped global terrorism, changed regional power balances, and facilitated the resurgence of authoritarian regimes claiming stability. Recognizing these interconnected effects is crucial for scholars, policymakers, and international stakeholders who wish to learn from history and develop more responsible, ethical, and sustainable strategies for international security and rebuilding after conflicts.

Conflict of Interest: The author reported no conflict of interest.

Data Availability: All data are included in the content of the paper.

Funding Statement: The author did not obtain any funding for this research.

References:

1. 2020, W. R. (2020). Afghanistan events 2020.
2. A, F. (2016). *ISIS: A HISTORY*. Princeton University Press. Retrieved from <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctvc77bfb>
3. Byman, D. (2015). *Al Qaeda, the Islamic State, and the Global Jihadist Movement*. Oxford University Press. Retrieved from <https://global.oup.com/academic/product/al-qaeda-the-islamic-state-and-the-global-jihadist-movement-9780190217266?cc=ge&lang=en&>
4. Byman, D. L. (2015). Comparing Al Qaeda and ISIS: Different goals, different targets. *BOOKINGS*.
5. Chomsky, N. (2007). *Failed States: The Abuse of Power and the Assault on Democracy*.

6. Cockburn, P. (2015). *The Rise of Islamic State: ISIS and the New Sunni Revolution*. Verso Books. Retrieved from https://www.versobooks.com/en-gb/products/116-the-rise-of-islamic-state?srsId=AfmBOoqKg03_hJuAftqHKA69srnEjnNb39EJhKfs54quWnVBDvN2CyZ
7. Gerges, F. A. (2016). *ISIS: A History*. Princeton University Press.
8. Giustozzi, A. (2019). *The Taliban at War*. Oxford University Press.
9. Group, W. B. (2018). *Iraq Economic Monitor, Fall 2018: Toward Reconstruction, Economic Recovery and Fostering Social Cohesion*.
10. Hoffman, B. (2014). *Inside Terrorism*. Columbia University Press.
11. Jeremiah Goulka, L. H. (2009). *The Mujahedin-e Khalq in Iraq*.
12. Jones, D. S. (2017). *THE PERSISTENT THREAT: AL-QAEDA'S EVOLUTION AND RESILIENCE*. The U.S. Government Publishing Office.
13. Jones, S. G. (2021). *In the Graveyard of Empires: America's War in Afghanistan*.
14. Katzman, K. (2009). *Iran's Influence in Iraq*. Congressional Research Service ~ The Library of Congress.
15. Khalilzad, Z. (2016). *From Kabul to the White House, my journey through a turbulent world*. New York: St. Martin's Press. Retrieved from <https://archive.org/details/envoyfromkabulto0000khal>
16. Lister, C. R. (2017). *The Syrian Jihad - The evolution of Insurgency*. HURST.
17. Lynch, M. (20123). *The Arab Uprising: The Unfinished Revolutions of the New Middle East*.
18. Malkasian, C. (2021). *The American War in Afghanistan: A History*.
19. Parker, G. (2006). *Assassins' Gate, The Paperback*.
20. Rashid, A. (2010). *Militant Islam, Oil, and Fundamentalism in Central Asia*. Yale University Press.
21. Ricks, T. E. (2007). *The American Military Adventure in Iraq*. Pepperdine University. Retrieved from <https://digitalcommons.pepperdine.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1044&context=globaltides&httpsredir=1&referer=>