EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL
by European Scientific Institute
15 YEARS

Paper: **"Analyse des aspects épidémiologiques, cliniques et évolutifs de la rougeole au Niger"**

Submitted: 24 November 2024
Accepted: 14 October 2025
Published: 31 October 2025

Corresponding Author: Sahada Moussa Saley

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n30p93

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Ignace Coovi Nonwanou Tokpanoude
Université d'Abomey-Calavi, Cotonou, Bénin

Reviewer 2: Ousmane Sylla
Direction Générale de la Santé de l'Hygiène Publique
Sous-Direction Santé de la Reproduction, Bamako, Mali

Reviewer 3: Yao Mawuenyégan Agbo
Université de Lomé, Togo

Reviewer A:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.
yes
The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.
RAS
There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.
PEU d'erreur
The study METHODS are explained clearly.
clair mais revoir les cas evadés
The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.
yes
The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.
revoir la conclusion
The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.
revoir les references
Please rate the TITLE of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
4

Overall Recommendation!!!
Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer B:
Recommendation: See Comments

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.
The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.
The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.
The ABSTRACT presents objects, methods, and results.
There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.
There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this articl
The study METHODS are explained clearly.
The study METHODS are explained clearly.
The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.
The body of the paper isn't clear and contains some errors
The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.
The CONCLUSION have to be improve.
The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.
The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive
Please rate the TITLE of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
2

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
2

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
3

Overall Recommendation!!!
Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
I suggest reanalyzing all the tables, rereading the sentences and expressions, having a solid discussion.
