



Paper: “Employabilité des diplômés de l’Université Nouveaux Horizons sur le marché du travail à Lubumbashi, RDC”

Submitted: 02 May 2025

Accepted: 15 August 2025

Published: 31 October 2025

Corresponding Author: Johnny Kasongo Bwanga

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n28p103

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Tidjane Kamagaté I.

Université Félix Houphouët Boigny de Cocody Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Fabrice Rocard Tabue Defo

Université de Yaoundé I, Cameroun

Reviewer 3: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Tabue Fabrice Rocard	
University/Country: université de Yaounde-1	
Date Manuscript Received: 26 juillet 2025	Date Review Report Submitted: 4 aout 2025
Manuscript Title: Employabilité des diplômés de l'Université Nouveaux Horizons sur le marché du travail à Lubumbashi, RDC	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. (Please insert your comments) <i>Le titre n'est pas spécifique. Bien vouloir le renger dans un domaine</i>	4-5
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results. (Please insert your comments) <i>Il faut respecter les règles de conjuguéson ainsi que les norms d'un résumé concernant un article</i>	3-5

3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4-5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le Texte est bien organisé. Il y a pas de grave faute de redaction.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3-5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La méthode de l'étude est clairement élaborée	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3-5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>Les résultats ne sont pas bien organisés. Bien lire les remarques intégrées dans le texte</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3-5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>Il faut mettre à la conclusion les limites de l'étude ainsi que les recommandations.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4-5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>Bien vouloir mettre toutes les références citées dans le teste à la partie réservée dans le teste.</i>	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Les auteurs de cette étude doivent bien organiser le texte pour le rendre lisible.

Il faut également uniformiser la norme APA dans votre texte.