



**Paper: “Impact à long terme de la consommation d’huile de palme et de beurre de karité sur les indices anthropométriques chez le rat Wistar”**

**Submitted: 02 June 2025**

**Accepted: 17 October 2025**

**Published: 30 November 2025**

Corresponding Author: Kamagaté Adama

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n33p173

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Henri Banga-Mboko  
Université Marien Ngouabi, Congo

Reviewer 2: Emilie Jocelyne Robet  
Laboratory of Nutrition and Pharmacology, Felix Houphouet Boigny University, Côte d’Ivoire

### **ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025**

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Henri BANGA-MBOKO	
University/Country: University Marien NGOUABI	
Date Manuscript Received: 19JULY 2025	Date Review Report Submitted: 23 July éàé(
Manuscript Title: <b>Influence de la Consommation de l'Huile de Palme et du Beurre de Karité sur les Paramètres Anthropométriques chez le Rat <i>Wistar</i></b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: yes	

### **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. The title is correct with the content of the paper	4
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results. Minor changes are needed see manuscript	3
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. Also minor changes, see the manuscript)	4
4. The study methods are explained clearly. Data are missing on the formulated diets , see the manuscript	3
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3

<b>The main changes are recommended here. Please summarize the results.</b>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>See some recommendations on the manuscript.</b>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>OK</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**The subject developed in the manuscript is original by valorizing local bio resources.**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

-----  
Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

-----  
**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

oui

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

oui

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

oui

Il faut corriger cette expression: consommation d'une alimentation

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

oui

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

oui très peu d'erreurs

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

oui

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

La plupart des références ne sont pas récentes. il faut les actualiser.

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

***Please rate the BODY of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

***Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Actualiser les références

-----