EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL
by European Scientific Institute
15 YEARS

Paper: **"From Infrastructure to Growth: Evaluating PPP Investments in Morocco"**

Submitted: 01 September 2025
Accepted: 15 October 2025
Published: 30 November 2025

Corresponding Author: Doua Wissal

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n31p24

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Ngwengeh Beloke
Faculty of Social and Management Sciences, University of Buea, Republic of Cameroon

Reviewer 2: Noshaba Zulfiqar
University of Wah, Pakistan

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer A:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.
There is no need to mention the name of the model employed for the study.
The title could be rephrased as follows:
From infrastructure to growth: Evaluating Public-Private Partnership investments in Morocco
The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.
The abstract clearly presents objects and methods.
There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.
The manuscript could be checked for grammatical mistakes.
The study METHODS are explained clearly.
The time period reported for the study is from 1993-2023 in the abstract, while the fiure 1 at page 4 reports findings from 2000 - 2022.
The title of the figure "Fig. 1. PPP investment and project trends" should be placed at the bottom of the figure.
Instead of pasting a figure, the authors are requested to produce the figure with the help of data.
Research objectives and research questions should be clearly defined.
The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.
The study's structure could be improved.
The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.
As the GDP is taken as dependent variable along with 5 other independent variables.
So the results should be discussed for all variables for the long run and short run.
Findings should be incorporated in the abstract.
The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.
More recent papers (2025, 2024, 2023, 2022) should be cited for clarification as a reference to make it more attractive and relevant ro the readers.
Please rate the TITLE of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
3

Overall Recommendation!!!
Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025*
This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	Email:
University/Country: Cameroon	
Date Manuscript Received: 4th/11/2025	Date Review Report Submitted: 8th/11/2025
Manuscript Title: **From infrastructure to growth: Evaluating PPP investments in Morocco through the ARDL model**	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:
Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	***Rating Result*** [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	**4**
(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	**4**
(Please insert your comments) **The indication on how the** number of PPP projects has a negative impact on GDP should be clearly explained as this contradicts with the long run effect results on GDP	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	**4**
(Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	**4**
(Please insert your comments)	

The results on Inflation have not been captured at the level of the abstract	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	**4**
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	**4**
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	**4**
(Please insert your comments)	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	**X**
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
Very little has been mentioned on the findings on inflation

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: N/A