



Paper: "A Constructivist Analysis of Liberia's Ebola Response"

Submitted: 10 September 2025 Accepted: 01 November 2025 Published: 30 November 2025

Corresponding Author: Michlyne Nyenegahn Williams

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n32p37

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: David Katuta

Tufts University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Reviewer 2: Sharad K. Soni

Jawaharlal Nehru University, India

Reviewer 3: Kadir Caner Doğan Gumushane Univesity, Turkey Reviewer D: Recommendation: Accept Submission The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. This is sufficient as it is. The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results. This is sufficient as it is. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. This is sufficient as it is. The study METHODS are explained clearly. This is sufficient as it is. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors. This is sufficient as it is. The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content. This is sufficient as it is. The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate. It contributes to the literature. Please rate the TITLE of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] Please rate the METHODS of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] Please rate the BODY of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 5 Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
Overall Recommendation!!!
Accepted, no revision needed
Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
contributes to the literature
Reviewer E:
Recommendation: See Comments
The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.
The title is clear and adequate to the content of the article.
The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.
Though the abstract clearly presents objects and results, it lacks methods.
There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.
Yes, very few grammatical and spelling mistakes.
The study METHODS are explained clearly.
Yes, the Methods are explained clearly.
The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.
Yes, it is clear and does not contain error.
The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.
The Conclusion or summary is accurate and supported by the content.
The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.
No references has been found.
Please rate the TITLE of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4
Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
3
Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
Please rate the METHODS of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

```
Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1
```

## **Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

## Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The manuscript is a research study which examines Liberia's response to the 2014-2015 Ebola crisis through a Constructivist lens and analyses how concepts of sovereignty, legitimacy, and international identity were actively constructed during a period of acute vulnerability. It appears to be a good piece. But in order to make it an excellent piece of work for the purpose of publication, the following should be kept in the mind: 1. Abstract must contain methods; 2. Body text is good but it would be excellent if several sub-headings can be merged to bring the discussion and analysis in focus; 3. References need to be added. Such minor revisions will make the manuscript a worthy research paper from the academic point of view. which after publication would attract the attention of the larger community of researchers, scholars and policy planners.

\_\_\_\_\_