



Paper: “Religious Coexistence and Legal Pluralism in Albania: Socio-Political and Legal Perspectives”

Submitted: 10 October 2025

Accepted: 26 November 2025

Published: 30 November 2025

Corresponding Author: Dorian Rrapi

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n32p115

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Ajibade Mufuliat Iyabode

Karat State College of Education, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria

Reviewer 2: Penelope Anastasiadou

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr. PENELOPE ANASTASIADOU	
University/Country: ARISTOTLE UNIVERSITY OF THESSALONIKI/ GREECE	
Date Manuscript Received: 11/11/2025	Date Review Report Submitted: 20 /11/2025
Manuscript Title: Religious Coexistence and Legal Pluralism in Albania: Socio-Political and Legal Perspectives	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 71.10.25	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: YES	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: YES	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: YES	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	4
1. Please, make sure that you write the name of the city Shkodra in the English version, [instead of Shkodër].	
2. You could make a brief report, about the several challenges of coexistence. [migration, globalization, political polarization etc]	

3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<p>Please, re-read all the text in order to avoid repetitions. For example:</p> <p>1. In page 11, and 12 there are repetitions. [The same text twice].</p> <p>“Analytical Orientation: Beyond Historical Narration” “5. Analytical Approach: Beyond Historical Narration”</p> <p>2. Also in page 13 and 14 there are repetitions:</p> <p>“by both Muslim and Catholic populations, joint charitable initiatives provide food, medical assistance, and other aid to vulnerable families during religious holidays, fostering a sense of shared responsibility and mutual respect”</p> <p>“Catholic and Muslim communities jointly provide aid, such as food, medical support, and school supplies, to vulnerable families, fostering mutual respect and shared responsibility”</p> <p>3 Please add the edition year in the following names: [Nathalie Clayer, Gilles de Rapper, Albert Doja, and Bernd Fischer]</p> <p>4. In a footnote, you may mention a few words about “Law on Religious Freedom (Law No. 10221, 2017)”.</p> <p>5. In a footnote, you may mention a few words about «Bektashis». Perhaps, they are not- as well known to the international audience- as the other religions.</p>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<p>The methodology section [NUMBER 4] should be <u>shorter</u> and concise. <i>[There are many repetitions which may exhaust the readers].</i></p>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<p>Please, be sure to use the APA citation style in your paper. Check the following link</p> <p>“Author Guidelines European Scientific Journal, ESJ” https://owl.purdue.edu/owl/research_and_citation/apa_style/apa_style_introduction.html</p> <p>For example</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - number 11, Endresen, C. (2015) or 2012 [text citation]? -number 18, is incomplete. -number 25, is not inside the text [text citation] - number 16 & 26, please write [and] the English version of the references. 	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

After your name, you may introduce yourself to the international colleagues, about your professional background.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr Ajibade Mufuliat Iyabode	
University/Country:Nigeria/Karat State College of Education, Ilorin, Kwara State.	
Date Manuscript Received: 12-11-2025	Date Review Report Submitted: 17-11-2025
Manuscript Title: Religious Coexistence and Legal Pluralism in Albania: Socio-Political and Legal Perspectives	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1071/25	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>The title is very clear and very adequate</i>	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	5
<i>The abstract covers the objective methods, results, and recommendation</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>Language editing is required. There is the need for consistency especially in respect of study/research/article</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>The aspect of methodology is unnecessarily to voluminous, full of repetition. There is the need to go straight and be coincide</i>	

5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>The results are clear and well discussed</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>The conclusions are accurately derived from the content(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>They are but must ensure that all and only cited references are listed(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): The authors should be precise in presenting the methodology.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: