



Paper: “Malnutrition chez les enfants de moins de 5 ans au Bénin : facteurs associés à l'abandon du traitement au Centre Nutritionnel Thérapeutique de l'Hôpital de Zone Ordre de Malte de Djougou”

Submitted: 28 August 2025

Accepted: 08 December 2025

Published: 31 December 2025

Corresponding Author: Laly Gilchrist M. Orphé

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n36p75

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Mamadou Saidou Bah
Gaston Berger University, Senegal

Reviewer 2: Adama Kamagaté
Université Nangui Abrogoua, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

YES

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

YES

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

L'article étant en langue française, je justifie des remarques des erreurs de saisie.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

YES

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Quelques erreurs inattention.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

YES

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

YES

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Le titre est clair, précis et reflète fidèlement le contenu de l'article. Il mentionne la population cible, le lieu et la problématique étudiée. "The title is clear, precise, and faithfully reflects the content of the article. It specifies the target population, the location, and the issue under study."

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

L'abstract présente bien l'objectif, la méthodologie et les résultats. Cependant, il pourrait être légèrement amélioré en termes de concision et de fluidité, notamment dans la partie "méthodes" où les détails sont un peu techniques pour un résumé. "The abstract clearly presents the objective, methodology, and results. However, it could be slightly improved in terms of conciseness and fluency, particularly in the 'methods' section where the details are somewhat too technical for a summary."

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Le langage est globalement correct et scientifique, mais on note quelques maladroites stylistiques et fautes typographiques. "The language is generally correct and scientific, but there are some stylistic awkwardnesses and typographical errors."

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Les méthodes sont expliquées de manière détaillée et transparente. "The methods are explained in a detailed and transparent manner."

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Le corps est bien structuré (introduction, méthodes, résultats, discussion). Les tableaux et figures sont clairs. Mais, quelques incohérences mineures apparaissent. "The body of the paper is well structured (introduction, methods, results, discussion). The tables and figures are clear, but a few minor inconsistencies are present."

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

La conclusion est cohérente et bien reliée aux résultats. Elle met en avant les facteurs explicatifs et propose des pistes d'intervention. Une légère reformulation pour plus de concision renforcerait l'impact. "The conclusion is coherent and well connected to the results. It highlights the explanatory factors and proposes avenues for intervention. A slight reformulation for greater conciseness would strengthen its impact."

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Les références citées sont pertinentes, mais la liste semble incomplète et parfois mal formatée pour certains auteurs cités. Une normalisation selon le style du journal serait nécessaire. “The references cited are relevant, but the list appears incomplete and in some cases poorly formatted for certain authors. Standardization according to the journal’s reference style would be necessary.”

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

All comments were added to the paper body
