



**Paper: “Signes de dépression chez les étudiants redoublants de l’Institut Supérieur Pédagogique de la Gombe à Kinshasa : Enquête qualitative”**

**Submitted: 02 December 2025**

**Accepted: 29 December 2025**

**Published: 31 December 2025**

Corresponding Author: Becker Sunga Sunga

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2025.v21n35p53

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Adjuman N’guessan Alain  
Université Félix Houphouet-Boigny de Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Benbrahim Mohamed  
Centre Régional des Métiers de l’Education de la Formation Souss-Massa, Agadir

### ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: ADJUMAN N'guessan Alain	
University/Country: Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny de Côte d'Ivoire	
Date Manuscript Received: 9/12/2025	Date Review Report Submitted: 18/12/2025
Manuscript Title: <b>Signes de dépression chez les étudiants redoublants de l'Institut Supérieur Pédagogique de la Gombe à Kinshasa : Enquête qualitative</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1236/25	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: oui	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: oui	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: oui	

### Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. (le lien est clairement défini)	4
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results. (le résumé donne toutes les informations exigées par un article scientifique)	4
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. (il y a quelques fautes de grammaire, ainsi que des mots oubliés)	3
4. The study methods are explained clearly. (méthode qualitative bien appliquée)	4
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors. (des résultats bien présentés et bien analysés)	4

<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(très belle conclusion)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(rien à signaler)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>oui</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Très bel article scientifique et prière continuer ainsi en tâchant de prendre en compte les questions de recherche.**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

## ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: <b>BENBRAHIM MOHAMED</b>	
University/Country: <b>Centre Régional des Métiers de l'Education de la Formation Souss-Massa Agadir</b>	
Date Manuscript Received: <b>20/12/2025</b>	Date Review Report Submitted: <b>24/12/2025</b>
Manuscript Title: <b>Signes de dépression chez les étudiants redoublants de l'Institut Supérieur Pédagogique de la Gombe à Kinshasa : Enquête qualitative.</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: <b>1236/25</b>	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <b>Yes</b>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:	

### Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4.5
Enquête qualitative des signes de dépression chez les étudiants redoublants de l'Institut Supérieur Pédagogique de la Gombe à Kinshasa.	

2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	4.5
- Rien à signaler	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4.5
- Rien à signaler	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4.5
- Rien à signaler	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4.5
- Rien à signaler	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4.5
- Rien à signaler	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
(Please insert your comments) <b>Voir les remarques sur l'article.</b>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Voir les remarques sur l'article.**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

