



EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL
by European Scientific Institute



Paper: “L’extrait de feuille de Moringa (*Moringa oleifera* Lam.), un biofertilisant prometteur”

Submitted: 06 September 2025

Accepted: 22 January 2026

Published: 31 January 2026

Corresponding Author: Bathie Sarr

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2026.v22n3p41

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Mokea-Niaty Aurelien
USTM, Gabon

Reviewer 2: Elise Solange Ngazoa Kakou
Institut Pasteur de Côte d'Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2026

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: MOKEA-NIATY Aurelien	
University/Country: USTM/ Gabon	
Date Manuscript Received: 26/12/2025	Date Review Report Submitted: 01/12/2026
Manuscript Title: L'extrait de feuille de Moringa, un biofertilisant prometteur	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 19990-Article Text-59541-1-4-20251222	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
Le titre doit être revu avec une petite modification qui est l'ajout du nom scientifique : < L'extrait de feuille de Moringa (<i>Moringa oleifera</i> Lam.), un biofertilisant prometteur >	
2. The abstract presents objectives, methods, and results.	3
Au niveau de l'abstract, revoir le nombre des articles utilisé pour cette étude, Est-ce 44, 47 ou 48 ?	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
L'auteur doit tenir compte des recommandations faites sur le manuscrit pour amélioration.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
La méthodologie nécessite d'être revue particulièrement dans les critères de sélection des articles	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4

Les résultats sont clairs, juste si possible présenté un aspect sous forme de tableau dans les effets sur la croissance et le rendement	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
L’auteur doit tenir compte des recommandations faites a ce sujet sur le manuscrit pour amélioration.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
Harmoniser les références selon les indications de la revue, ex. DOI	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

L’auteur doit tenir compte de toutes les recommandations pour que l’article soit publié.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title can be changing like: Some Applications of Moringa Leaf for agriculture issues????

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract must contain the justification of moringa leaves in agriculture??? Why Moringa leaves, cost, production, low income countries setting???? The research question???

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

No comment.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

No comment

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

No comment

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

For a review manuscript, the conclusion needs to present the benefits of moringa leaf, and all applications and to open the perspective. The author needs to add more research for moringa leaf in context of tropical countries.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

no comment

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer C:

Cet article présente un sujet pertinent et d'actualité, mettant en avant le potentiel de l'extrait foliaire de moringa comme biofertilisant naturel. La richesse des références et la synthèse des résultats apportent une bonne base scientifique. Toutefois, certaines incohérences (nombre d'articles exploités, accords de langue, style bibliographique) et des formulations parfois lourdes réduisent la clarté du texte. L'ajout de tableaux comparatifs, une harmonisation des références et une meilleure précision méthodologique renforceraient la rigueur et la lisibilité.

En résumé, ce **travail est intéressant et bien documenté, mais nécessite des corrections mineurs de forme et une meilleure structuration pour atteindre le niveau attendu d'une revue scientifique.**

Recommendation: Accept Submission
