EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL
by European Scientific Institute
16 YEARS

Paper: **"Profil épidémiologique, diagnostique, thérapeutique et évolutif des tumeurs osseuses malignes en rhumatologie au CHU-Kara"**

Submitted: 05 November 2025
Accepted: 25 January 2026
Published: 31 January 2026

Corresponding Author: Atake Awaki-Esso

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2026.v22n3p73

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Ignace C. N. Tokpanoude
Unité de santé publique, Faculté des Sciences de la santé, Université d'Abomey-Calavi, Bénin
Association des médecins communautaire du Bénin

Reviewer 2: Yacoub Ahmat Salhadine
Service de chirurgie pédiatrique du Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Campus, Lomé, Togo

Reviewer 3: Yao Mawuenyégan Agbo
Université de Lomé, Togo

Reviewer C:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.
Le titre est clair et en accord avec l'objectif et le contenu de l'article
The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.
Le résumé présente clairement les objectifs, les méthodes et les principaux résultats de l'étude
There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.
Il existe quelques fautes grammaticales et d'orthographe mineures
The study METHODS are explained clearly.
Lles méthodes sont globalement bien expliquées. Cependant il n'y a pas d'explication sur la période de 2018 qui n'a pas été prise en compte.
The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.
L'article est bien structuré. Il n'y a pas d'erreurs de méthodologie, ni d'erreurs de calcul.
The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.
La conclusion est en accord avec le développement de l'article.
The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.
Les références sont bien rédigées et toutes appelées dans le texte.
Please rate the TITLE of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Overall Recommendation!!!
Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025*
This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: TOKPANOUDE Ignace	
University/Country: Bénn	
Date Manuscript Received: 1/12/2025	Date Review Report Submitted: 5/12/2025
Manuscript Title: **PROFIL EPIDEMIOLOGIQUE, DIAGNOSTIQUE ET THEURAPEUTIQUE DES TUMEURS OSSEUSES MALIGNES EN RHUMATOLOGIE AU CHU-KARA**	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 40.11.2025	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: yes	

Evaluation Criteria:
Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	***Rating Result*** [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	**5**
(Please insert your comments) **yes**	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	**4**
(Please insert your comments) **Faite une petite introduction du sujet**	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	**5**
(Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	**4**

(Please insert your comments) Expliquer pourquoi avoir exclu 2018 de la collecte Vous n'avez pas fait de test statistique dans les résultats alors que c'est mentionné dans la partie analyse	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	**5**
(Please insert your comments) Faite le tableau de distribution des fréquences des variables socio démographiques et antécedants	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	**5**
(Please insert your comments) **RAS**	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	**5**
(Please insert your comments) *RAS*	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	**X**
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: