



EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

by European Scientific Institute

16 YEARS

Paper: “Exploring Irrigable Dams Schemes and Water Quality for Vegetable Cultivation in Wa West District, Upper West Region-Ghana”

Submitted: 27 July 2024

Accepted: 25 January 2026

Published: 31 January 2026

Corresponding Author: Clifford James Fagariba

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2026.v22n3p87

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Valentina Manoiu
University of Bucharest, Romania

Reviewer 2: Darejan Margalitashvili
Iakob Gogebashvili Telavi State University, Georgia

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The TITLE is adequate to the content of the article. My overall assessment of the article is positive.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The ABSTRACT presents objects, methods, and results

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Is structurally sound, does not betray the principles of academic writing

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Does not contain errors

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The research carried out leads to real results:

- Effective partnerships between government and people interested in the problem of water pollution quality will contribute to the optimal use of water resources.
- No less important factor is the greening of the banks of irrigation canals to reduce water evaporation.

Sharing the research results and findings proposed by the authors of the study will significantly alleviate the adverse effects of surface water pollution with nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, or other chemicals, the article uses a variety of materials and literature.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of REFERENCES is appropriate

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

It would be desirable if the research group continued additional research in this direction.

Reviewer D:

Recommendation: See Comments

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes!

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Yes!

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are some mistakes... I have tried to correct them.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes!

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

There are some small errors. I have mentioned them in the paper. Please correct them!

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Yes!

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

There are some errors. Please correct them!

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
4

Overall Recommendation!!!
Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
