



EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL
by European Scientific Institute



Paper: “Political Hate Speech and the Identity Factor in the European Space”

Submitted: 15 January 2026

Accepted: 08 February 2026

Published: 28 February 2026

Corresponding Author: Katica Kulavkova

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2026.v22n5p29](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2026.v22n5p29)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Mahgoub El-Tigani Mahmoud
Tennessee State University, USA

Reviewer 2: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2026

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Mahgoub El-Tigani Mahmoud	
University/Country: Tennessee State University/U.S.A.	
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted: 01/204/2026
Manuscript Title: Political Hate Speech and Identity Factor	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 02.02.2015	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes.	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes.	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract presents objectives, methods, and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments) The manuscript consisted of replicated pages, indicating incomplete loading.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The text made available revealed the writer's clear criticisms and the urgency of continental and international actions to prohibit Hate Speech efficiently., especially necessary measures to protect the national and ethnic minorities.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>References on the paper's strongly disputed topic are rather limited. The paper needs more references to show the multiple dimensions and to strengthen the critique of the Hate players.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

This significant critique of Hate Speech (HS) is well-written. Overall, the text outlines successfully major highlights of HS that contradict sharply with the EU human rights' obligations. The writer's honest confrontation deserve authentic evidences of more than only one case of open HS against one or two cases would be much more convincing to the reader. It would be an important global documents to support the ongoing human rights' efforts to enforce the minorities' full enjoyment of civil freedoms and human dignity.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: