



EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL
by European Scientific Institute



Paper: “Relationship Between Outdoor Adventure Education Programs and Environmental Sustainability Behaviors Among Youths in Ondo State, Nigeria”

Submitted: 05 January 2026

Accepted: 15 March 2026

Published: 31 March 2026

Corresponding Author: Bola D. Ojo

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2026.v22n7p132

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Heba Mostafa Mohamed
Beni Suef University, Egypt

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2026

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

| | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Date Manuscript Received: 23/01 | Date Review Report Submitted: 03/02 |
| Manuscript Title: IMPACT OF OUTDOOR ADVENTURE EDUCATION PROGRAMS ON LONG-TERM ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY BEHAVIORS AMONG YOUTHS IN ONDO STATE, NIGERIA | |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 17.41.01.2026 | |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: | |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: NO | |
| You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: YES | |

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

| Questions | Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |
|--|--|
| 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. | 2 |
| <i>The claim is overly broad, and the assertion of long-term impact is far-fetched and not supported by the evidence presented. So, the title is misleading</i> | |
| 2. The abstract presents objectives, methods, and results. | 3 |
| <i>More details on the informants should be provided</i> | |
| 3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. | 4 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> | |
| 4. The study methods are explained clearly. | 2 |
| <i>The methodology section would benefit from references to relevant literature. The research questions are not appropriate for the topic of investigation, as framing them in terms of “What is the relationship ...” does not adequately capture the focus of the study. Demographic</i> | |

| | |
|---|----------|
| <i>information would also be more appropriately presented in the methodology section rather than among the results.</i> | |
| 5. The results are clear and do not contain errors. | 2 |
| <i>Although the authors appear to use the tables to present the results, tables can only support readability and cannot substitute for a proper discussion. In their current form, they merely present numbers without adequate analysis or explanation.</i> | |
| 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | |
| <i>The conclusions (or summary) are inaccurate and not adequately supported by the content of the article. Moreover, they fail to engage with other factors that may limit the spread of sustainable behaviors among young Nigerians, such as cultural influences, personal background, or practical constraints (e.g., lack of infrastructure). As a result, the discussion appears overly simplistic.</i> | |
| 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. | |
| <i>See section 4</i> | |

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Accepted, no revision needed | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Accepted, minor revision needed | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Reject | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

While the topic addressed in this article is relevant, substantial revisions would be necessary to strengthen the manuscript. In particular, the conclusions are not fully supported by the analysis presented and would benefit from closer alignment with the content of the article. The discussion would also be strengthened by engaging with a broader range of factors that may influence the adoption of sustainable behaviors among young Nigerians, such as cultural norms, personal background, and practical constraints (e.g., infrastructural limitations). Addressing these dimensions would help avoid an overly simplistic interpretation of a complex phenomenon and provide a more nuanced and convincing contribution.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: