



Paper: “De l’Éros platonicien à la finitude imposée : Une approche thématique-existentielle de How Beautiful We Were d’Imbolo Mbue”

Submitted: 31 January 2026

Accepted: 03 March 2026

Published: 31 March 2026

Corresponding Author: Daniel Tia

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2026.v22n8p57

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Maurice Gning
Berger University, Senegal

Reviewer 2: Bouyahya Adil
Centre Régional des Métiers d'Education et de Formation, Maroc

Reviewer 3: Isa Spahiu
State University of Tetova, North Macedonia

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is a bit awkward. It could be made more explicit, less ambiguous

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objectives, methods, results, and conclusions.

Clear abstract on the whole

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The language is simple and plain. The author must however proofread the work to correct the minor mistakes. There is also a need to rewrite, by shortening them, certain long sentences.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

YES

The results are clear and do not contain errors.

The analysis is deep and coherent. Therefore, it results in a relevant conclusion

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

yes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

yes

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the RESULTS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

es, the title is clear and adequate to the content of the article

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objectives, methods, results, and conclusions.

Yes, the abstract clearly and effectively presents objectives, methods, results, and conclusions!

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Although the article demonstrates considerable scholarly rigor, it contains several grammatical and typographical errors throughout!

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

“The methodology of the study is presented with clarity and precision, facilitating comprehension and reproducibility!

The results are clear and do not contain errors.

The results are clearly presented and appear to be free of errors.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion does a great job of tying everything together. It clearly reflects the main points of the study and shows how the analysis supports the findings, leaving the reader with a strong sense of what the research contributes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of references is thorough and well-chosen. It demonstrates that the author engaged with a wide range of relevant sources, giving the study a strong scholarly foundation and supporting the arguments effectively.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
5

Please rate the RESULTS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The article offers a strong, insightful analysis of How Beautiful We Were, with clear methodology, well-supported arguments, and comprehensive references. Minor grammatical and spelling errors should be corrected to improve readability and polish, but overall, the manuscript is a valuable contribution to the field.

Reviewer C:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Oui, le titre est parfaitement clair, explicite et cohérent avec le contenu de l'article. Il remplace les fonctions essentielles académiques. Le titre utilise une structure de classe « Concept : Application », qui est la norme dans les revues d'un groupe (type Études Littéraires ou Commonwealth Essays and Studies). Le titre est ici un « contrat de lecture ».

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objectives, methods, results, and conclusions.

Oui, tout à fait, le résumé (Abstract) est basé sur des études universitaires internationales . Il s'agit d'une structure de connexion qui permet de trouver vos informations essentielles en un coup d'œil, et de façon sommaire...

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

En effet, si le contenu intelligent est si volumineux, le texte apparaît avec de nombreuses coquilles, des scores grammaticaux et des lourds pontifiants qui déversent plus d'informations sur le cours fluide au cours de la sélection.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Oui, les méthodes d'apprentissage sont très satisfaisantes, car elles ne se contentent pas du nom de l'établissement, elles se justifient par la philosophie d'application exposée dans le texte.

The results are clear and do not contain errors.

Les résultats de votre étude sont des concepts clairs et des stimulants intellectuels , mais d'un point de vue strictement académique, ils comportent une nuance importante à explorer.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Votre conclusion (cette affirmation relève du déroulement et de l'évolution de vos transitions) n'est pas une conclusion définitive, mais elle constitue la structure précise qui guide la progression de votre analyse. Ce contenu ne remplace pas les intentions initiales, mais il est valable à titre d'exemple.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

La bibliographie est remarquable, complète et à jour . Elle témoigne d'une grande culture religieuse, scientifique et interdisciplinaire, qu'on peut mettre en avant dans l'article .

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the RESULTS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Votre article est d'une remarquable intelligence. Il est très difficile d'utiliser la littérature africaine pour défendre les philosophies européennes sans tomber dans l'écueil de l'eurocentrisme
