



**Paper: “Application de la télédétection multispectrale et des SIG à l’exploration aurifère dans le territoire de Wamba (RDC) : cartographie litho-structurale et identification de cibles”**

**Submitted: 23 September 2025**

**Accepted: 15 April 2026**

**Published: 30 April 2026**

Corresponding Author: Bila Bimare Joel

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2026.v22n11p54

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Hassan Lemacha

Hassan II-Casablanca University, Sidi Othmane, Casablanca, Morocco

Reviewer 2: Mahamane Moustapha Souley Barhadje

Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey, Niger

Reviewer 3: Nana Oumarou Baba

University of Bertoua (ESTM), Cameroon

-----  
Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Resubmit for Review  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

Voir le fichier attaché

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objectives, methods, results, and conclusions.**

Voir le fichier attaché

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

Voir le fichier attaché

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

Voir le fichier attaché

**The results are clear and do not contain errors.**

Voir le fichier attaché

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

Voir le fichier attaché

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

Voir le fichier attaché

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

***Please rate the RESULTS of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

***Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

***Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

- 1- Ajoutez la liste des auteurs sous le titre, leurs affiliations aux laboratoires ou aux équipes de recherche et une adresse mail ;
  - 2- Des mots clés ne figurant pas dans le résumé ;
  - 3- Utiliser les mêmes mots clés pour le résumé en français et en anglais ;
  - 4- Ajoutez (1) et (2) sur les cartes de la figure 2 ;
  - 5- Des corrections sont marquées en jaune à supprimer et en bleu à ajouter ;
  - 6- Respecter la même Police du manuscrit (Times New Roman, 12pts) et le modèle de rédaction des articles en ESJ ;
  - 7- Centrez les titres des figures ;
  - 8- Les figures 14 et 16 sont les figures 3 et 5 ;
  - 9- Ajoutez des références récentes pour améliorer la qualité du travail (2023, 2024, 2025) ;
- Bonne chance
-

### ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Nana Oumarou Baba	
University/Country: University of Bertoua (ESTM)/Cameroon	
Date Manuscript Received: 1 october 2025	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: <b>APPLICATION DU SIG ET TELEDETECTION POUR LA MISE EN EVIDENCE DES ZONES ABRITANT LA MINERALISATION AURIFERE : CAS DU TERRITOIRE DE WAMBA EN RDC</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: Paper for Review 1026/25	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

#### Evaluation Criteria:

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<b>Questions</b>	<b>Rating Result</b> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>2</b>
Le titre du document est en inadéquation avec le contenu développé. La partie méthodologie ne permet pas de savoir comment la démarche aboutie à un guide répliquable dans le processus d’exploration aurifère dans d’autres zones. La structuration est peu adapté on dirait un brouillon partagé	
<b>2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Les éléments de résumé sont là mais cependant, ce résumé présente quelques manquements sur le style linguistique, le contexte du travail, etc.</i>	

<b>3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	
idem	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	
La méthode doit être révisée pour permettre la bonne adéquation avec le thème. Cette révision permettra de mieux appréhender les résultats attendus.	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	
idem	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	
idem	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	
Pour les références utilise le modèle APA	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Il faut retravailler l'article en s'appuyant sur les travaux des auteurs dans le domaine d'exploration aurifère. Le style de rédaction, la structuration et le format de mise en page doit être adapté au modèle de la revue.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

### **ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2025**

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Mahamane Moustapha Souley Barhadje	
University/Country: Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey/Niger	
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: <b>APPLICATION DU SIG ET TELEDETECTION POUR LA MISE EN EVIDENCE DES ZONES ABRITANT LA MINERALISATION AURIFERE : CAS DU TERRITOIRE DE WAMBA EN RDC</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 03. ---26.10.2025	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

#### **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<b>Questions</b>	<b>Rating Result</b> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
The title is clear and the content developed corresponds to it	
<b>2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
The abstract clearly presents the objects, methods and results.	
<b>3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3</b>
There are some grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
The methodological approach is well explained.	

<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
The results are clear and show no anomalies	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>3</b>
The references are comprehensive but not well appropriate.	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

- Eviter de citer des auteurs datant de plus de 10ans sauf dans la méthodologie
- Conformez vous au guide de l'auteur du journal en matière de citation, police et espace  
...

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**