



EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL
by European Scientific Institute



Paper: “Smartphone Addiction Among Undergraduates in Lagos State, Nigeria”

Submitted: 17 January 2026

Accepted: 07 May 2026

Published: 31 May 2026

Corresponding Author: Muftau Olanrewaju Biobaku

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2026.v22n13p81

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Olga Dąbrowska-Cendrowska
Uniwersytet Jana Kochanowskiego, Poland

Reviewer 2: Valeriya Kovbuz
University of Derby, UK

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer A:

Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

3/5

The title reflects the topic of the study, but the phrasing could be clearer. The expression "dysfunction of smartphone use" is not commonly used in academic writing. A more standard formulation may improve precision and readability.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objectives, methods, results, and conclusions.

3/5

The abstract presents the main elements of the study, including the aim, methods, and results. However, the description of the methods is brief, and the conclusions appear stronger than what the results fully support. It would benefit from clearer wording and closer alignment with the analysis.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in the manuscript. Careful proofreading would improve clarity and overall readability.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

2/5

The study methods described at a basic level; however, important details are missing. The sampling procedure and its justification are not clearly explained, and ethical considerations are not reported. There is also inconsistency between the stated analytical methods and those used in the results.

The results are clear and do not contain errors.

2/5

The results are presented in a structured way, but there are some issues with the clarity and consistence. The presentation and interpretation of the findings would benefit from careful revision.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

2/5

The conclusion reflects the general topic of the study, although it is not fully supported by the results. Some statements are too strong compared to the data. The conclusion should be revised to better match the findings.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

3/5

The reference list includes relevant sources. However, some DOI links do not appear to be working. It is also not always clear if all in-text citations are included in the reference list. The references could be checked for accuracy and completeness.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the RESULTS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes, the title is clear and accurately reflects the content of the paper.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objectives, methods, results, and conclusions.

The abstract contains all the necessary elements that inform about the content of the paper..

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The article is written correctly. There are minor punctuation errors, which will likely be corrected during the proofreading stage.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

In the abstract and the methodology section, the research method used for data collection has been indicated - "The study employed a survey research method". Please add to the methodology section (p. 6) information regarding the time period during which the research was conducted. In other words, specify when and over what duration the data were collected. Additionally, please explain why this particular period was chosen. The methodology section should also include information on where the survey was conducted (e.g., online) or in person (during classes) – please describe the procedure.

I would like to emphasize that the research procedure should be described clearly and precisely.

The results are clear and do not contain errors.

I have no comments on the conclusions. Please just add a source under the tables: Source: own research.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is accurate.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The literature review is appropriately selected.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the RESULTS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

A very interesting article, well grounded in the relevant literature and appropriate theoretical framework. The study is properly designed and important, considering the widespread use of smartphones. I thank the author(s) for attending to both the content and presentation. I would only ask for the addition of information to complement the methodology.
