



EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL
by European Scientific Institute



Paper: “The Role of Foreign Language Education in Human Capital Formation: A Narrative Literature Review”

Submitted: 01 May 2026

Accepted: 15 June 2026

Published: 31 July 2026

Corresponding Author: Penelope Anastasiadou

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2026.v22n20p119

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Saltanat Meiramova
S.Seifullin Agro-Technical University, Kazakhstan

Reviewer 2: Edona Llukaçaj
University College Beder, Albania

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer B:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The Title is clear and encompasses the issues discussed in the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objectives, methods, results, and conclusions.

The Abstract incorporates all the necessary information. It is well-structured and the Key Words are well-elected. However, it could be more specific and tailored for the specific paper, including objectives, methods, results and conclusions in a more direct way.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are no grammatical errors or spelling mistakes in the article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study method incorporated for the analysis is clearly defined.

The results are clear and do not contain errors.

The results are well-structured and enlightening. However, this section along with the discussion could be more clearly related with the conclusions.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is adequate and compliant with the points made by the author in the article. However, some points in the conclusion might be elaborated in the body, for increased understanding on behalf of the reader.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The references are quite inclusive. The works mentioned/referred to in the manuscript have all been included in the section.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the RESULTS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

This is an interesting analysis that requires a thorough final review before being published. Special attention could be paid to the restructuring of the Abstract and the creation of a more powerful link between the analysis and the reached conclusions, as the conclusions might be too inclusive for the undertaken analysis.

Reviewer C:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title "Beyond Borders: Foreign Languages as Bridges in the Modern World" is a little bit too broad to convey the exact content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objectives, methods, results, and conclusions.

The abstract does not provide clearly objectives, methods, results, and conclusions.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

n/a

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study Methods are not explained clearly what kind of tools they used to show the exploration results.

The results are clear and do not contain errors.

There is no errors and the results are general, not precise.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is too broad.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of references are appropriate enough.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the RESULTS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
