



EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL
by European Scientific Institute



Paper: “Déterminants individuels et contextuels de l’insertion socioéconomique des immigrants internationaux au Cameroun”

Submitted: 16 April 2026

Accepted: 22 May 2026

Published: 31 July 2026

Corresponding Author: Didier Nganawara

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2026.v22n19p175

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Abdel Aziz Ossen
Université Nationale d’Agriculture, Bénin

Reviewer 2: Diawara Daman-Guilé
Université des Sciences Sociales et de Gestion de Bamako-USSGB, Mali

Reviewer 3: Oumar Traoré
Institut National de Formation des Travailleurs Sociaux (INFTS)

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2026

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement to the authors and editors of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published, or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes a peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are with the publisher, and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: OSSENI Abdel Aziz	
University, Country: Université Nationale d'Agriculture, Bénin	
Date Manuscript Received: 27/04/2026	Date Review Report Submitted: 05/05/2026
Manuscript Title: Déterminants individuels et contextuels de l'insertion socioéconomique des immigrants internationaux au Cameroun	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0506/26	
You agree that your name is revealed to the author of the paper: yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper is available in the "review history" of the paper: yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
-----------	---

1. The title is clear, and it is adequate for the content of the article.	5
<i>RAS</i>	
2. The abstract presents objectives, methods, and results.	5
<i>RAS</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Des fautes mineures</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>Il manque assez de detail pour la méthodologie. La description des méthodes et des formules qui les accompagnent. Il faut également préciser les tests statistiques et leur seuil de validation dans la méthodologie</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>RAS</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>Les conclusions sont trop évidentes. Il faut ressortir les nuances et faire des comparaisons objectives pour une meilleure exploitation des résultats</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>La référence bibliographique n'est pas consistante. Les auteurs récents (2021 -2026) ne sont pas cites</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	

Reject	
--------	--

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): Il est important de renforcer la méthodologie et de porter une nuance dans les conclusions

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2026

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement to the authors and editors of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published, or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes a peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are with the publisher, and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr DIAWARA Daman-Guilé	Email: damanguiled@gmail.com
University, Country: Université des Sciences Sociales et de Gestion de Bamako-USSGB, Mali	
Date Manuscript Received: Le 09 MAI 2026	Date Review Report Submitted: Le 11 Mai 2026
Manuscript Title: DETERMINANTS INDIVIDUELS ET CONTEXTUELS DE L'INSERTION SOCIOECONOMIQUE DES IMMIGRANTS INTERNATIONAUX AU CAMEROUN	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree that your name is revealed to the author of the paper: OUI	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper is available in the "review history" of the paper: OUI	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: OUI	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result
-----------	---------------

	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear, and it is adequate for the content of the article.	4
Le titre reflète précisément l'étude qui analyse les facteurs personnels et environnementaux influençant l'intégration des migrants au Cameroun.	
2. The abstract presents objectives, methods, and results.	4
Le résumé est complet : il définit l'objectif (analyser l'insertion via l'ECAM4), la méthodologie (multiniveau) et les conclusions clés sur la prédominance du secteur primaire.	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
La rédaction est de haute qualité et académique. Quelques rares coquilles de ponctuation subsistent, mais n'entravent pas la compréhension.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
La méthodologie est robuste. L'utilisation d'un échantillon aléatoire stratifié à deux degrés et de la régression logistique multiniveau est très bien justifiée.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
Les données présentées (75% d'immigrants occupent un emploi) sont cohérentes avec les analyses bivariées fournies dans les tableaux.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
Les conclusions sur l'absence de différence significative entre les migrants CEMAC et hors CEMAC découlent directement des modèles statistiques appliqués.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
La revue de littérature mobilise des auteurs de référence (Borjas, Piché, Portes) et des rapports d'organisations internationales récents (OIM 2023).	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	OUI
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Votre approche multiniveau est particulièrement pertinente pour isoler les effets de l'environnement familial et communautaire des caractéristiques individuelles. L'analyse de l'insertion résidentielle et matrimoniale, en plus de l'insertion professionnelle, offre une vision holistique de l'intégration. Il serait intéressant de discuter davantage de l'implication de la forte concentration des migrants dans le secteur primaire (35,5%) pour les politiques de développement local, car cela peut refléter une forme de survie plutôt qu'une intégration choisie.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Le manuscrit apporte une contribution scientifique solide et rare sur les dynamiques migratoires en Afrique Centrale.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2026

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement to the authors and editors of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published, or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes a peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are with the publisher, and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Oumar TRAORE	
University, Country: Institut National de Formation des Travailleurs Sociaux (INFTS)	
Date Manuscript Received: 8/05/2026	Date Review Report Submitted: 14 /05/ 2026
Manuscript Title: Déterminants individuels et contextuels de l’insertion socioéconomique des immigrants internationaux au Cameroun	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 33	
You agree that your name is revealed to the author of the paper: OUI	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper is available in the “review history” of the paper: OUI	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: OUI	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
-----------	---

1. The title is clear, and it is adequate for the content of the article.	5
<i>Le titre est bien formulé, concis et précis, t en congruence avec le contenu de l'article.</i>	
2. The abstract presents objectives, methods, and results.	5
<i>Ce critère est bien respecté par les auteurs.</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>L'article contient quelques petites coquilles et incorrections, qui n'entâchent pas le contenu.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>La méthodologie est explicite par rapport aux données et les méthodes d'analyses. Cependant, la démarche méta-analyse n'est pas précisée avec l'utilisation des données et résultats d'une enquête réalisée en 2014.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>Les résultats sont bien présentés au deux niveaux d'analyses descriptives et explicatives, conformément aux variables considérées..</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>La conclusion est acceptable même si elle est doit être écourtée en faisant économie de détails des résultats.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>Le référencement est correct.</i>	