



EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL
by European Scientific Institute



Paper: “Left Colic Angle Volvulus Pressing the Spleen: A Case Report from the Yopougon University Hospital (Abidjan, Ivory Coast)”

Submitted: 17 March 2026

Accepted: 18 September 2026

Published: 30 September 2026

Corresponding Author: Assohoun Krahboue Toussaint Hubert

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2026.v22n27p82

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Rozeta Shahinaj
Medical University of Tirana, Albania

Reviewer 2: Atif Ali
COMSATS University Islamabad, Pakistan

Reviewer 3: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2026

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Rozeta Shahinaj	
University, Country: University of Medicine, Tirana, Albania	
Date Manuscript Received: 18.05.2026	Date Review Report Submitted: 07.06.2026
Manuscript Title: "LEFT COLIC ANGLE VOLVULUS PRESSING THE SPLEEN: A CASE REPORT FROM THE YOPOUGON UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL (ABIDJAN, IVORY COAST)".	
ESJ Manuscript Number:0407	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
-----------	---

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Yes, the title is clear, and it is adequate for the content of the article.	
2. The abstract presents objectives, methods, and results.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> This abstract is a case report abstract explaining the rarity or clinical significance of the condition.	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The text is clear, but it contains some grammatical issues that can be corrected	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> This is a case report article; there are no study methods, but the report of the case is adequate	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> This is a case report study article; there is no results section in this article, but the report of the case is complete	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The conclusion is generally supported by content, but it could be strengthened and made more precise.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The references are generally appropriate for a case report on splenic flexure volvulus, but need to have their formatting corrected and incomplete citations completed.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	

Reject	
--------	--

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The text is clear, but it contains several grammatical issues, different incorrect phrasings, and some surgical terminology needs to be improved for publication in a medical journal.

The conclusion needs to emphasize the clinical significance and management implications.

For submission to a surgical case-report journal, I would also recommend specifying whether the splenic involvement was due to torsion of the splenic vascular pedicle, adhesions, or anatomical abnormalities, as this is the most unique aspect of the case.

Clarification of certain laboratory values and surgical terminology is also needed.

The manuscript would benefit from additional postoperative follow-up information, if available, to document the long-term outcome after colectomy and splenopexy.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: