WELL BEING AT WORK: WHAT MAKES A DIFFERENCE?

Aiste Dirzyte, PhD, Assoc. Prof.

Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania

Abstract

The article analyses current research trends on psychological constructs related to well being at work. Right around the turn of the last century, the field of psychology began to place greater importance on investigation what contributes to human flourishing, however, positive approach is still developing, and evidence on relationship between positive constructs has not been grounded culturally yet. This paper presents some results of the survey (n=197) which was conducted in Lithuania. It aimed to identify psychological factors that were found to be related to well being at work: job satisfaction, organizational commitment, psychological capital, personality traits, constructive thinking. This study confirmed that job satisfaction, organizational commitment and positive psychological capital are related constructs, and this Lithuanian research complements the previous studies done in various countries. The study has also demonstrated some statistically significant relations between personality traits and constructive thinking in Lithuanian organizations.

Keywords: Well being, psychological capital

Introduction:

Although the importance of well being at work has been given attention through the years, only recently it has been proposed as a new standpoint for organizational behavior research (Cameron, Dutton, & Quinn, 2003; Luthans, Youssef, & Avolio, 2007).) For many decades researchers have been exploring the factors contributing to well being at work, but the results varied across the different cultures and economic systems (Suki, 2011). Recent research has revealed universal factors that can be applied to any given organizational and cultural context. This implies employees' psychological capital, organizational commitment, job satisfaction, and personality traits of leaders (Garg and Rastogi, 2009; Kumar and Giri, 2009; Narimawati, 2007; Tayyab, 2006; Meyer et al., 2008). These new trends are discussed in this article. The article also presents the results of research which aimed to study the relationship between leaders' personality traits and constructive thinking as well as the relationship between employees' organizational commitment, job satisfaction, and positive psychological capital in Lithuanian organizations (n=197).

1. Well being at work and psychological capital

Right around the turn of the last century, the field of psychology began to place greater importance on investigation what contributes to human flourishing (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000; Sheldon & King, 2001; Snyder & Lopez, 2002). Drawn from the recent positive psychology movement (Peterson, 2006; Peterson & Seligman, 2004; Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000; Snyder & Lopez, 2002), the positive focus extended to the workplace by focusing on both the value of micro-oriented positivity in individuals as well as macro-oriented positivity in organizations (Luthans, Youssef, & Avolio, 2007; Nelson & Cooper, 2007; Wright, 2003; Cameron & Caza, 2004; Cameron, Dutton, & Quinn, 2003; Roberts, 2006; Spreitzer & Sonenshein, 2004). Positive organizational behavior for the first time was defined as "the study and application of positively oriented human resource strengths and psychological capacities that can be measured, developed, and effectively managed for performance improvement in today's workplace" (Luthans, 2002). Moreover, a specific construct of psychological capital was introduced (Luthans, 2007). Psychological capital is conceptualized as an individual's positive psychological state of development that is characterized by: (1) having confidence (self-efficacy) to take on and put in the necessary effort to succeed at challenging tasks; (2) making a positive attribution (optimism) about succeeding now and in the future; (3) persevering toward goals and, when necessary, redirecting paths to goals (hope) in order to succeed; and (4) when beset by problems and adversity, sustaining and bouncing back and even beyond (resiliency) to attain success (Luthans, Youssef, et al., 2007, p. 3). Psychological capital is seen as a resource that goes beyond human capital (experience, knowledge, skills and abilities) and social capital (relationships, networks). It deals with "who you are here and now", and "who you can become" in the proximal future if your psychological resources are developed and nurtured in the workplace (Luthans, Luthans, & Luthans, 2004; Luthans & Youssef, 2004). In terms of measurement, a valid and reliable PsyCap questionnaire has been developed (Luthans, Youssef et al., 2007) and empirically validated (Luthans, Avolio et al., 2007). The items used in it were originally drawn from published validated scales commonly used in positive psychology. These individual scales have also been used in previous studies in the workplace (e.g., Peterson & Luthans, 2003, Luthans et al., 2005; Youssef & Luthans, 2007). Six items in this questionnaire represented each of the four components that make up PsyCap. These items were adapted for the workplace from the following standard scales: (1.) Hope (Snyder et al., 1996); (2.) Resilience (Wagnild & Young, 1993); (3.) Optimism (Scheier & Carver, 1985); and (4.) Efficacy (Parker, 1998). Therefore, PsyCap meets the criteria of valid measurement and being open to development, and a growing number of studies have clearly demonstrated that it has impact on desired outcomes in the workplace. For example, PsyCap was shown to be positively related to employee satisfaction (Luthans, Avolio, et al., 2007). There is also increasing evidence that PsyCap is significantly related to desired employee behaviors (and negatively to undesired behaviors), attitudes (e.g., satisfaction and commitment), and performance (Luthans, Avolio et al., 2007). Research studies evidently demonstrates the impact that PsyCap may have on satisfaction and/or commitment (Larson & Luthans, 2006; Luthans, Avolio et al., 2007; Luthans, Norman et al., 2008; Youssef & Luthans, 2007) and absenteeism (Avey, Patera, & West, 2006). Although PsyCap predominately focuses on positivity at the individual level, expanding research in the science and study institutions in the USA has also demonstrated positive relations between collective PsyCap and team performance (Clapp-Smith, Vogelgesang, & Avey, 2009; Peterson & Zhang, 2011; Walumbwa, Luthans, Avey, & Oke, 2011). There are at present in excess of 45 published PsyCap papers, and the emergence of the first meta-analysis is further evidence to the growth of PsyCap research (Avey, Reichard, et al., 2011). Some empirical research indicates that positive appraisals of life domains besides work (i.e., Relationship PsyCap and Health PsyCap) impact on employee's overall well-being (Luthans, Youssef, Sweetman, & Harms, 2010; Luthans & Harms, 2013). Furthermore, work-related positivity is viewed as antecedent not only for proximal work outcomes, but also for overall well-being over time (Avey, Luthans, Smith, & Palmer, 2010). A recent meta-analysis has provided further evidence of significant, positive relationships between PsyCap and job satisfaction, organizational commitment, organizational citizenship behaviors and job performance and negative relationships with turnover intent, cynicism, job stress and deviance (Avey, Reichard, Luthans, & Mhatre, 2011). Therefore, based on the theory building and research to date, we have hypothesized that there would be statistically significant relations between constructs of psychological capital and job satisfaction as well as organizational commitment in Lithuanian organizations.

2. Well being at work and personality traits of a leader

Contemporary leadership psychology acknowledges that some leaders are motivated by goals and values, but some are motivated by greed or big egos (Babiak, 2007). As Paul Babiak and Robert D. Hare, the authors of book Snakes in Suites (2007) states, there are some individuals who allow the responsibilities of leadership and the perks of power to override their moral sense. Moreover, their grandiose sense of self-importance leads them to believe that other people exist just to take care of them. Another characteristic is an ability to avoid taking responsibility for things that go wrong; instead, they blame others, circumstances, fate, and so forth. Sometomes they rely on coercion, abuse, humiliation, harassment, aggression, and fear to get their way. They are callous to almost everyone, intentionally finding reasons to engage in conflict, to attack others unfairly (in private and in public), and to be generally antagonistic. They lack any insight into their own behavior, and seem unwilling or unable to moderate it, even when it is to their own advantage. Does this kind of leadership gives added value to organizational effectiveness? Obviously, not. How personality traits of a leader affect employees' well being? Classical Peter Drucker's model of effective leadership does not involve coercing people, silencing individuals with fear, or utilizing humiliating tactics to carry out orders (Maciariello, 2011, p. 246). Peter Drucker says that effective leadership is not 'making friends and influencing people'; effective leaders lead followers with dignity and inspire them toward achievement (Drucker, 2008, p. 288). The model of 'servant leadership', proposed by Robert Greenleaf (1970) involves providing subordinates with a considerable degree of freedom based on trust and respect. Jim Collins proposed idea of 'great leaders', who possesses the quality of humility (Collins, 2001). Therefore, based on the theory building and research to date on personality traits and the related constructs we have hypothesized that there would be statistically significant differences in personality traits of leaders in Lithuanian organizations, however, the results may vary depending on other respondents' characteristics.

Methods

This study used a test design utilizing a heterogeneous random sample of 197 working adults (leaders of various levels) representing a wide cross-section of Lithuanian organizations. Participants were sent an e-mail by the researchers or personally asked to participate in the study. The subjects of the study were 89 men and 108 women. Additional demographics of the sample included a mean age of 38.9 years and average job tenure of 6 years. The majority of the participants had bachelor degree or higher (68.6 %). The measures used in this study included: Job Satisfaction Survey (to assess personal job satisfaction, Spector, 1985), Organizational Commitment Questionnaire (to assess organizational commitment, Mowday et al., 2000), Psychological Capital Questionnaire (to assess positive psychological capital, Luthans et al., 2007), NEO-Five Factor Inventory (to measure neuroticism, extraversion, and openness to experience, agreeableness, and conscientiousness, Costa & McCrae, 1990), Constructive Thinking Inventory, CTI (to assess constructive and destructive beliefs and thinking patterns, Epstein, 1993). CTI predicts a variety of desirable abilities/states, that are either unrelated or only very weakly related to intellectual intelligence, including work performance, social skills, and emotional and physical well-being. All responses for the questionnaires were anchored on a 5-point Likert scale: 1 - strongly disagree, 2 - disagree, 3 - not sure, 4 - agree, 5 - strongly agree. Each questionnaire demonstrated acceptable reliability in this study: Job Satisfaction Cronbach $\alpha = 0.92$, Organizational Commitment Cronbach $\alpha = 0.90$, Psychological Capital Cronbach $\alpha = 0.86$. Reliability of NEO-FFI and CTI are shown in Table 1.

Scales	Crobach Alpha						
NEO-FFI							
Neuroticism	0,796						
Openness to experience	0,753						
Extraversion	0,697						
Agreeableness	0,718						
Conscientiousness	0,785						
СТІ							
Global constructive thinking	0,835						

Table 1. Reliability of NEO-FFI and CTI in the Lithuanian study

Results

Given the focus of the study, correlation analysis was determined to be the appropriate statistical technique. The results demonstrated statistically significant strong relationship between organizational commitment and job satisfaction (r=0,76, p<0,01), psychological capital and job satisfaction (r=0,47, p<0,01), psychological capital and organizational commitment (r=0,52, p<0,01).

In order to determine the relationship between organizational commitment and psychological capital (dependent variable was job satisfaction), we used linear regression analysis procedure (Enter model). The results of regression analysis are presented in Table 2. Table 2. Linear regression analysis of organizational commitment and psychological capital in Lithuanian organizations

Components of the model	R	R ²	р	Non Standardize d coefficients	Standardized coefficients	t	р	Multicolinearity	
				В	β			Toleran ce	VIF
Constanta				8,46	-	0,62	0,54	_	_
Organizational Commitment	0,77	0,59	0,00	1,82	0,71	8,99	0,00	0,73	1,38
Psychological Capital				0,19	0,09	1,14	0,26	0,73	1,38

Note. Dependent variable: job satisfaction; p < 0,01; *VIF* < 4; *Tolerance* > 0,25.

As it can be seen in Table 2, the regression is statistically significant (p = 0,00), and coefficient of *Pearson* shows strong relationship of variables (R = 0,77). Coefficient of regression analysis explains that in linear regression model the relationship found between dependent and independent variables is stronger than medium (R² = 0,59). Linear regression analysis focus on dependent and independent variables in regard to job satisfaction and organizational (β = 0,71; p = 0,00). Therefore, this linear regression analysis implies that the higher organizational commitment leads to higher job satisfaction (t = 8,99; p = 0,00).

In order to determine the relationship between job satisfaction and psychological capital (dependent variable was organizational commitment), we also used linear regression analysis procedure (Enter model). The results of regression analysis are presented in Table 3. Table 3. Linear regression analysis of job satisfaction and psychological capital in Lithuanian organizations

Components of the model	R	R ²	р	Non Standardize d coefficients	Standardize d coefficients	t	р	Multicolinearity	
				В	β			Tolerance	VIF
Constanta				2,46	_	0,47	0,64	_	_
Job Satisfaction	0.79	0,62	0,00	0,26	0,03	1,14	0,00	0,78	1,28
Psychological Capital	0,79	0,02		0,18	0,06	2,90	0,05	0,78	1,28

Note. Dependent variable: organizational commitment; p < 0,01; *VIF* < 4; *Tolerance* > 0,25.

As it can be seen in Table 3, the regression is statistically significant (p = 0,00), and coefficient of *Pearson* shows strong relationship of variables (R = 0,79). Coefficient of regression analysis explains that in linear regression model the relationship found between dependent and independent variables is stronger than medium (R² = 0,62).. This linear regression analysis (β = 0,71; p = 0,00) implies that the higher organizational commitment leads to higher job satisfaction (t = 8,99; p = 0,00). Coefficient of regression analysis demonstrates that dependent variable organizational commitment is statistically significantly related to independent variables: job satisfaction (β = 0,03; p = 0,00) and psychological capital (β = 0,06; p = 0,05). In regard to β coefficients, psychological capital is mostly related to organizational commitment. This implies that the rates of organizational commitment heightens in regard to the rates of job satisfaction (t = 1,14; p = 0,00) and psychological capital (t = 2,90; p = 0,00). To sum up, job satisfaction, organizational commitment and psychological capital are statistically significantly related in the group of respondents from different Lithuanian organizations.

As it is shown in Table 4, some statistically significant relationships were found between personality traits (NEO-FFI) and constructive thinking (CTI). Global constructive thinking was found to be statistically significantly negatively related to neuroticism (r=-0,668, p=0,000), and statistically significantly positively related to extraversion, openness to experience, agreeableness, conscientiousness. As it can be observed, some other correlations between personality traits and thinking patterns were found.

		Neuroticism	Extraversion	Openness to	Agreeableness	Conscienti
				experience		ousness
Global constructive	r	-0,668	0,239	0,351	0,121	0,024
thinking	р	0,000	0,014	0,000	0,218	0,811
Emotional coping	r	-0,625	0,208	0,321	0,066	-0,091
	р	0,000	0,033	0,001	0,503	0,358
Behavioral coping	r	-0,602	0,443	0,397	0,135	0,272
	р	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,169	0,005
Superstitious thinking	r	0,510	-0,164	-0,403	0,055	0,147
	р	0,000	0,095	0,000	0,579	0,135
Esoteric thinking	r	0,243	0,524	0,228	0,079	0,006
	р	0,013	0,000	0,019	0,424	0,955
Categorical thinking	r	0,264	0,122	-0,311	-0,372	0,195
	р	0,007	0,215	0,001	0,000	0,046
Naive optimism	r	-0,113	0,585	0,253	-0,070	0,235
	р	0,249	0,000	0,009	0,480	0,016

Table 4. Relationship between personality traits (NEO-FFI) and constructive thinking (CTI), Pearson correlation

As it can be seen in Table 5, Linear regression analysis of personality traits (NEO-FFI) and constructive thinking (CTI) showed that openness to experience, agreeableness, and conscientiousness can be important in predicting the global constructive thinking. Table 5. Linear regression analysis of personality traits and constructive thinking of leaders in Lithuanian

as of personality traits and constructive thinking organizations (n-105)

organizations (n=105)								
Components of the model	Non Standar	tized coefficients	Standartized	t	p			
	Beta	Std. errot	Beta					
Constanta	153,700	9,826		15,643	0,000			
Neuroticism	-0,942	0,094	-0,717	-9,972	0,000			
Extraversion	0,061	0,122	0,043	0,500	0,618			
Openness to experience	0,257	0,091	0,226	2,836	0,006			
Agreeableness	0,077	0,089	0,062	0,863	0,390			
Conscientiousness	-0,399	0,109	-0,297	-3,656	0,000			

Dependent variable: Global constructive thinking

To sum up, the study revealed some statistically significant relations between personality traits and constructive thinking in various Lithuanian organizations. Moreover, it showed that openness to experience, agreeableness, and conscientiousness can be essential in prognosticating the global constructive thinking.

Conclusion:

This study confirmed that job satisfaction, organizational commitment and positive psychological capital are related constructs, and this Lithuanian research complements the previous studies done in various countries (Aydogdu, Asikgil, 2011; Avey et al., 2011; Gallato et al., 2012; Garg, Rastogi, 2009; Gomes, 2009; Fernando et al., 2007, cit. pagal Iqbal, 2012; Yucel, 2012; Kumar & Giri, 2009; Lumley et al., 2011; Luthans et al., 2007; Luthans et al., 2008c; Malik et al., 2010; Nagar, 2012; O'Reilly, Chatman, 1986; Salami, 2008; Seyal, Afzaal, 2013; Syauta et al., 2012; Spector, 1997b; Tayyab, 2006; Unal, 2012). It has also showed some statistically significant relations between personality traits and constructive thinking in Lithuanian organizations. It demonstrated that openness to experience, agreeableness, and conscientiousness can be essential in prognosticating the global constructive thinking. Nonetheless, supplementary research is needed to explore further the different factors contributing to well being at work, as it could have added value to practical applications in organizational settings.

References:

Avery, D. R., McKay, P. F., Wilson, D. C. Engaging the aging workforce: The relationship between perceived age similarity, satisfaction with coworkers, and employee engagement // Journal of Applied Psychology. 2007, vol. 92(6), p. 1542 – 1556.

Avey, J. B., Luthans, F., Youssef, C. M. The Additive Value of Positive Psychological Capital in Predicting Work Attitudes and Behaviors // Journal of Management OnlineFirst. 2009, p. 1 - 23.

Avey, J. B., Patera, J. L., Wes, B. J. The Implications of Positive Psychological Capital on Employee Absenteeism // Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies. 2006, vol. 13(2), p. 42-60.

Avey, J. B., Reichard, R. J., Luthans, F., Mhatre, K. H. Meta-analysis of the Impact of Positive Psychological Capital on Employee Attitudes, Behaviors, and Performance // Articles Human Resource Development Quarterly. 2011, vol. 22(2), p. 127 – 152.

Avey, J. B., Wernsing, T. S., Luthans, F. Can Positive Employees Help Positive Organizational Change? Impact of Psychological Capital and Emotions on Relevant Attitudes and Behaviors // The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science. 2008, vol. 44(1), p. 48 – 70.

Avolio B.J.; Bass B.M.; Jung D.I. Reexamining the components of transformational and transactional leadership using the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire. Journal of Organizational and Occupational Psychology, 1999, Vol. 72, p.336-487..

Aydogdu, S., Asikgil, B. An Empirical Study of the Relationship among Job Satisfaction, Organizational Commitment and Turnover Intention // International Review of Management and Marketing. 2011, vol. 1(3), p. 43 – 53.

Babiak Paul, Hare Robert D., (2006) Snakes in suits, HarperCollins Publishers.

Barrows, D., Wesson, T. A comparative analysis of job satisfaction among public and private sector proffessionals // The Public Sector Innovation Journal. 2001, vol. 20, p. 233 – 250.

Bender, K. A., Heywood, J. S. Job satisfaction of the highly educated: The role of gender, academic tenure, and comparison income // Scottish Journal of Political Economy. 2006, vol. 53, p. 253 – 279.

Brunetti, G. J. Why do they teach? A Study of job satisfaction among long-term high school teachers // Teacher Education Quarterly. 2002, vol. 28(3), p. 49 – 74.

Clark, A. E., Warr, P. B. Is job satisfaction U – shaped in age? // Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology. 1996, vol. 69, p. 57 – 81.

Cole, K., Daly, A., Mak, A. Good of the Soul: The Relationship between work, wellbeing and Psychological Capital // The Journal of Socio – Economics. 2009, vol. 38, p. 464 – 474.

Conway, E. Relating career stage to attitudes towards HR practices and commitment: Evidence of interaction effects? // European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology. 2004, vol. 53, p. 39 - 52.

Drucker P. 2008: The Five Most Important Questions. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Epstein S., Pacini R., Denes-Raj V., Heier H. Individual Differences in Intuitive-Experiential and Analytical-Rational Thinking Styles. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1996, Vol.71 (2), p. 390-405.

Epstein S.; Katz L. Coping Ability, Stress, Productive Load, and Symptoms. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1992, Vol. 62 (5), p.271-334.

Epstein S.; Meier P. Constructive Thinking: A broad coping variable with specific components. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1989, Vol.57 (2), p. 332-350.

Felfe J., Schyns B.: Personality ant the Perception of Transformational Leadership: The Impact of Extraversion, Neuroticism, Personal Need for Structure, and Occupational Self-Efficacy//Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2006, vol 36, p. 708-739.

Fisher, D. Mood and emotions while working: missing pieces of job satisfaction? // Journal of Organizational Behavior. 2000, vol. 21, p. 185 – 202.

Fuming, X., Jiliang, S. Research on Job Satisfaction of Elementary and High School Teachers and Strategies to Increase Job Satisfaction // Chinese Education and Society. 2007, vol. 40(5), p. 86 – 96.

Furham A., Petrides K.V., Tsaousis I., Pappas K., Garrod D.: A Cross-Cultural Investigation Into the Relationships Between Personality Traits and Work Values, The Journal of Psychology, 2005, 139(I), p. 5-32.

Garg, P., Rastogi, R. Effect of psychological wellbeing on organization commitment of employees // The IUP Journal of Organizational Behaviour. 2009, p. 1 - 11.

Goldberg L. R. An Alternative "Description of Personality": The Big-Five Factor Structure // Journal of Personality and Social Psychology. 1990, vol. 59, p. 1216-1229

Goldberg L.R. The structure of phenotypic personality traits. American Psychologist, Vol.48, p.26-34.

Gomes, D. R. Organizational change and job sastisfaction: the mediating role of organizational commitment // Exedra journals. 2009, vol. 1, p. 177 – 195.

Gooty, J., Gavin, M., Johnson, P. D., Frazer, M. L., Snow, D. B. In the Eyes of the Beholder. Transpofrmational Leadership, Positive Psychological Capital, and Performance // Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies. 2009, vol. 15(4), p. 353 – 367.

Gordon, M. S. The Examination of Correctional Officers' Organizational Commitment. Journal of Professional Issues in Criminal Justice. 2007, vol. 2(2), p. 191 – 206.

Harris P.R., Lightsey O.R. Constructive Thinking as a Mediator of the Relationship Between Extraversion, Neoritizm and Subjective Well-Being. European Journal of Personality, 2005, Vol.19, p.409-425.

Hogan J., Kaiser R.B. What we know about leadership. Journal of General Psychology, 2005, Vol.9, p.169-180.

Hoogh A.H.B., Hatog D.N, Koopman P.L.: Linking the Big Five-Factors of personality to charismatic and transactional leadership; perceived dynamic work environment as a moderator// Journal of Organizational Behavior. 2005, vol.26, p.839-865.

Chughtai, A. A., Zafar, S. Antecedents and Consequences of Organizational Commitment Among Pakistani University Teachers // Applied H.R.M. Research. 2006, vol. 11 (1), p. 39 – 64.

Chusmir, H. L. Gender differences in variable affecting job commitment among working man and women // Journal of Social Psychology. 2001, vol. 64, p. 253 – 268.

Iqbal, M. Impact of Job Satisfaction and Job Control on Organizational Commitment: A case study of Air Traffic Controllers of Pakistan Civil Aviation Authority // Journal of Managerial Sciences. 2012, vol.6(2), p. 139 – 154.

Kaiser R.B., Hogan J. Personality, leader behavior, and overdoing it. Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research, 2011, Vol. 63, No.4, p.219-242.

Kalkhoff, N. L., Collins, D. R. Speech-Language Pathologist Job Satisfaction in School Versus Medical Settings // Language, Speech & Hearing Services in Schools. 2012, vol. 43(2), p. 164 – 175.

Khalili, A., Asmawi, A. Appraising the Impact of Gender Differences on Organizational Commitment: Empirical Evidence from a Private SME in Iran // International Journal of Business & Management. 2012, vol. 7(4), p. 100 – 110.

Kumar, B. P., Giri, V. N. Effect of age and experience on job satisfaction and organizacional commitment // The ICFAI University Press. 2009, vol. 17, p. 244 – 252.

Larson, M., Luthans, F. Potential Addes Value of Psychological Capital in Predicting Work Attitudes // Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies. 2006, vol. 13(1), p. 45 – 62.

Lord R.G., DeVader C.L., Alliger, G.M. A metaanalysis of the relation between personality traits and leadership percepsions: an application of validity generalization procedures. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1986, Vol. 71, p.402-410.

Lumley, E. J., Coetzee, M., Tladinyane, R., Ferreira, N. Exploring the Job Satisfaction and Organisational Commitment of Employees in the Information Technology Environment // Southern African Business Review. 2011, vol. 15, p. 100 – 118.

Luthans, F. Psychological Capital: Implications for HRD, Retrospective Analysis, and Future Directions // Articles Human Resource Development Quarterly. 2012, vol. 23(1), p. 1 - 7.

Luthans, F., Avey, J. B., Avolio, B. J., Norman, S. M., Combs, G. M. Psychological Capital Development: Toward a Micro – Intervention // Journal of Organizational Behaviour. 2006a, vol. 27, p. 387 – 393.

Luthans, F., Avey, J. B., Avolio, B. J., Peterson, S. J. The Development and Resulting Performance Impact of Positive Psychological Capital // Articles Human Resource Development Quarterly. 2010, vol. 21(1), p. 41 - 67.

Luthans, F., Avey, J. B., Clapp – Smith, R., Li, W. More Evidence on the Value of Chinese workers' Psychological Capital: A pPotentially Unlimited Competitive Resource // The International Journal of Human Resource Management. 2008c, vol. 19(5), p. 818 – 827.

Luthans, F., Avey, J. B., Patera, J. L. Experimenatal Analysis of a Web – Based Training Intervention to Develop Positive Psychological Capital // Academy of Management Learning & Education. 2008b, vol. 7(2), p. 209 – 221.

Luthans, F., Avolio, B. J., Avey, J. B., Norman, S. M. Positive Psychological Capital: Measurement and Relationship with Performance and Satisfaction // Personnel Psychology. 2007, vol. 60, p. 541 – 572.

Luthans, F., Norman, S. M., Avolio, B. J., Avey, J. B. The Mediating Role of Psychological Capital in the Supportive Organizational Climate – Employee Performance relationship // Journal of Organizational Behavior. 2008a, vol. 29, p. 219 – 238.

Luthans, F., Vogelsesang, G. R., Lester, P. B. Developing the Psychological Capital of Resilience // Human Resource Development Review. 2006b, vol. 5(1), p. 25 – 44.

Maciariello J. Drucker's Lost Art of Management, with Karen E. Linkletter, forthcoming McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, 2011.

Malik, M. E., Nawab, S., Naeem, B., Rizwan, D. Job satisfaction and organizational commitment of university teachers in public sector of Pakistan // International Journal of Business and Management. 2010, vol. 5(6), p. 17 - 26.

Martocchio, J. J., Ferris, G. R. Research in personnel and human resources management // Emerald Group Publishing. 2005, vol. 22, p. 379 – 391.

Melter, C. A. Job satisfaction and perception of motivation among middle and high school teachers // American Secondary Education. 2002, vol. 31(1), p. 43 – 53.

Meyer, J. P., Becker, T. E., Vandenberghe, C. Employee commitment and motivation: a conceptual analysis and integrative model // Journal of Applied Psychology. 2008, vol. 89(6), p. 991 – 1007.

Mount M.K., Barrick M.R., Scullen S.M., Rounds J. Sackett P. Higher-Order Dementions of The Big Fve Personality Traits and The Big Six Vocational Interest Types // Personnel Psychology, Vol. 58., 2005, p.345-467.

Mowday, R. T., Steers, R. M., Porter, L. W. Employee - organization linkages: The psychology of commitment, absenteeism, and turnover. New York: Academic Press. 1982, 253 p.

Mowday, R. T., Steers, R. M., Porter, L. W. The measurement of organizational commitment // Journal of Vocational Behavior. 1979, vol. 14, p. 224 – 247.

Nagar, K. Organizational Commitment and Job Satisfaction among Teachers during Times of Burnout // Journal Vikalpa. 2012, vol. 37(2), p. 43 – 60.

Narimawati, S. E. U. The influence of work satisfaction, organizational commitment and turnover intensions towards the performance of lecturers at west Java's private higher education institution // Journal of Applied Sciences Research. 2007, vol. 3(7), p. 549 – 557.

Norman, S. M., Avey, J. B., Nimnicht, J. L., Pigeon, N. G. The Interactive Effects of Psychological Capital and Organizational Identity on Emploee Organizational Citizenship and Deviance Behaviors // Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies. 2010, vol. 17(4), p. 380 – 391.

Ones D.S.; Viswesvaran C., Schmidt F.L. Personality and absenteeism: a meta-analysis of integrity test. European Journal of Personality, 2008, Vol.17, p.348-543.

Pang, M., Lee, C. Personal characteristics, career stage and job satisfaction // International journal of employment studies. 2002, vol. 10, p. 105 – 132.

Perugni M, Gallucci M.: A Hierarchical faceted model of the Big Five//European Journal of Personality, 1997, vol 11, p. 279-301.

Salami, S. O. Demographic and Psychological Factors Predicting Organizational Commitment among Industrial Workers // Anthropologist. 2008, vol. 10(1), p. 31 – 38.

Seyal, A. H., Afzaal, T. An Investigation of Relationship among Emotional Intelligence, Organizational Comitment and Job Satisfaction: Evidence from Academics in Brunei Darussalam // International Business Research. 2013, vol. 6(3), p. 217 – 228.

Shahnawaz, M.G., Hassan Jafri, Md. Psychological Capital as Predictors of Organizational Commitment and Organizational Citizenship Behaviour // Journal of the Indian Academy of Applied Psychology. 2009, vol. 35, Special Issue, p. 78 – 84.

Spector, P. E. Job satisfaction: application, assessment, causes, and consequences // London: Sage Publications. 1997a, 96 p.

Spector, P. E. Measurement of Human Service Staff Satisfaction: Development of the Job satisfaction // American Journal of Community Psychology. 1997b, vol. 13(6), p. 693 – 713.

Stenberg R.J. (1999) The theory of successful intelligence. Review of General Psychology Vol. 3 p.292-316.

Stogdill R.M. Personal factors associated with leadership: A review of the literature. Journal of Psychology, 1948, Vol. (25), p. 35-67.

Suazo, M. M., Turnley W. H., Mai, R. R. The role of perceived violation in determining employees' reactions to psychological contract breach // Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies. 2005, vol. 12(1), p. 24 – 26.

Suki, N. M., Suki, N. M. Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment: The effect of Gender // International Journal of Psychology Research. 2011, vol. 6(5), p. 1 – 15.

Sumner M., Bock D., Giamartino G.: Exploring the linkage between the characteristics of it project leaders and project success//Information System Management, 2006, p.76-98.

Sušnaj, Z., Jakopec, A. Faireness Perceptions and Job Satisfaction as Mediators of the Relationship between Leadership Style and Organizational Commitment // Psychological Topics 21. 2012, vol. 3, p. 509 – 526.

Syauta, J. H., Troena, E. A., Setiawan, M., Solimum. The Influence of Organizational Culture, Organizational Commitment to Job Satisfaction and Employee Performance (Study at Municipal Waterworks of Jayapura, Papua Indonesia) // International Journal of Business and Manageent Invention. 2012, vol. 1(1), p. 69 - 76.

Tayyab, S. Antecedent and consequences of organizational commitment in Pakistan. A dissertation degree of philosophy in psychology. Quaid- I – Azam University, Islamabad. 2006, 233 p.

Tett Robert P; Christiansen Neil D. Personality assessment in organizations. The Sage Handbook of Personality Theory and Assessment. Ed. By Gregory J.Boyle, Gerald Matthews, Donald H.Saklofske LosAngeles, London, New Delhi, Singapore: Sage, 2008, p.267-298.

Unal, O. F. Relationship between Organizational Commitment and Ethical Climate: The mediating role of Job Satisfaction dimensions (A study in a Group of Copanies in Turkey) // Journal of WEI Business and Economics. 2012, vol.1(1), p. 92 – 105.

Vandenberghe, C., Stinglhamber, S., Bentein, K., Delhaise, T. An examination of the cross – cultural validity of multidimensional model of commitment in Europe // Journal of Cross – Cultural Psychology. 2001, vol. 32, p. 322 – 347.

Yucel, I. Examining the Relationships among Job Satisfaction, Organizational Commitment, and Turnover Intention: An Empirical Study // International Journal of Business and Management. 2012, vol. 7(20), p. 44 – 58.

Zafra E.L., Retamero R.G., Landa J.M.A.: The role Transformational Leadership, emotional intelligence and group cohesiveness on leadership emergence// Journal of Leadership Studies, 2008, Vol. 2, Number 3, p.247-349.

Clancy, Tom, Carl Stiner, and Tony Koltz. Shadow Warriors: Inside the Special Forces. New York: Putnam, 2002.

Cohen, Andrew, and J.L. Granatstein, eds. Trudeau's Shadow: The Life and Legacy of Pierre Elliott Trudeau. Toronto: Random, 1998.

Meidenbauer, Jörg, ed. Discoveries and Inventions: From Prehistoric to Modern Times. Lisse: Rebo, 2004.

Puzo, Mario. The Family: A Novel. Completed by Carol Gino. New York: Harper, 2001.

Rowling, J.K. Harry Potter and the Chamber of Secrets. New York: Scholastic, 1999