

To whom it may concern,

With regard to your request to give my attitude and review for the paper presented by Dr. Baghdadi and Dr. Banat: "THE IMPACT OF SYRIAN IMMIGRATION SHOCK ON WAGES IN THE LEBANESE FAST-FOOD LABOR MARKET" I will give my comments on two level: 1- With respect to the format, ordering and references i) There is minor inconsistency between paragraph spaces some are single , others are double. ii) Usually the conclusion is the last paragraph in articles or publications, so I believe that the empirical study should be stated before the conclusion , since the conclusion is the last thing that clicks to the readers mind. iii) References are, relevant, consistent, valid ,recent and rich. This strengthens the article. 2- With respect to the content, I will present my opinion regarding the economic aspect of this article since this is my domain. i) The flow of the ideas is smooth, logical and consistent. ii) The literature review is sound and the articles are valid. iii) The Economics logic behind the theories of elasticity, substitution, Labor demand and Labor supply are sound. iv) The report by BLOM Invest is true. v) I have no conformity on transforming Kennan's Model for constant returns with 2 main inputs are (labor and Capital) to (Syrian Labor and Lebanese labor). The derived model in this article seems sound and is along their findings by the empirical study, however I have no theoretical back up for this model. Hence it could be considered as the value added of this research in this area.

Hope my feedback was useful.

Best Regards,