
ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	Email:
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Manuscript Review Submitted:
Manuscript Title: THE CONCEPT OF POLITICAL AND TERRORIST OFFENCES IN EXTRADITION MATTERS: A LEGAL PERSPECTIVE	
ESJ Manuscript Number: d.26 Vesna Stefanovska	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-less point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(a brief explanation for 3-less point rating)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>(a brief explanation for 3-less point rating)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>(a brief explanation for 3-less point rating)</i>	

4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(a brief explanation for 3-less point rating)</i>	
5. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(a brief explanation for 3-less point rating)</i>	
6. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(a brief explanation for 3-less point rating)</i> I made suggestions for two additional references	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The study deals adequately with the background in international law and reported cases. I would suggest adding reference to the recent papers: Saul, Ben, Terrorism as a Legal Concept (September 23, 2015). ROUTLEDGE HANDBOOK OF LAW AND TERRORISM, G. Lennon and C. Walker, eds, Routledge, UK, 2015; Sydney Law School Research Paper No. 15/85. Available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2664404>; Chakraborty, Ananya, Growth of Extradition Under International Law (October 17, 2014). KIIT Journal of Law and Society, Volume 4, Number 1, 2014 KJLS,1:1. Available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2530506> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2530506>.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

.....

European Scientific Journal
European Scientific Institute

