

### **1- The title:**

- you better reformulate the title in a different way. You need also to reconsider the style in the rest of the article.

### **2- Abstract:**

The abstract represents the article and respects the correct form. But in the end:

*Students ranked 'expression of ideas in oral English' the most important. This explains why students at times complain about having to take courses outside their discipline and provides insight to college program developers how to align different perspectives of stakeholders.*

Unless you can confirm this result. It would be better to use the conditional form instead of the affirmative form: ex: This may explain....

### **3- Key Words:**

- The key words describe the main topics within the article

### **4- Introduction:**

The introduction describes the article's idea. Nevertheless:

- The aim of the article is not clearly defined in the introduction.
- In the first paragraph, in which year did Tung Chee Hwa set a policy objective to provide higher education places to 60 per cent of secondary school-leavers?

### **5- Review of littérature :**

- The literature reviews are not recent and need to be updated. There is only one recent reference in 2013.
- In page 3, first paragraph, there is no source, reference, or date!!

*In the private sector, there are 26 self-financed institutes offering various locally accredited sub-degree and degree programs. In addition, there are about 420 non-local registered and 680 non-local exempted programs from Australia, Canada, Mainland China, the Philippines, the United Kingdom, the United States and other countries. Non-local registered programs are overseas programs delivered in Hong Kong through a non-self-accrediting institute. Non-local exempted programs are overseas programs delivered through a self-accrediting institute, the Big 8. These programs are not locally accredited unless they have gone through the accreditation process with the Council for Academic Accreditation and Vocational Qualifications ('HKCAAVQ').*

## **6- Material and method:**

- The material and method are clear but it would be better if the questions are mentioned at the end of the review of literature and before the method.
- More details about the sample must be described (such as what is the name of the institute? from which the sample had been selected?).

## **7- Results**

- The results are explained in details. However, the title can be: Results and discussions or you can add a new title such as (Discussion) at page 22.

## **8- Conclusion:**

- The different steps of the study aren't mentioned.

## **9- References**

- In one hand, the references are pertinent, on the other hand it need to be revised. There are a lot of errors. For example the first reference is: American Management Association. (2010). AMA 2010 Critical Skills Survey, 1-10. The second is: Australian Government (2008). Employability Skills. *Training Packages @ Work*. (Pages??)
- The references are not recent and need to be updated. The only recent reference is in 2013.

## **10- Style, language and organization:**

The style is simple and comprehensive but there are redundancies and repetitions of some information.