

---

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name:	Email:
Date Manuscript Received: 7-12-2015	Date Manuscript Review Submitted: 13-12-2015
<b>RELACIÓN SER HUMANO-NATURALEZA: DEBATIENDO EL DESARROLLO SOSTENIBLE DESDE LA FILOSOFÍA DE LA CIENCIA</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 12109/15	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-less point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>3</b>
The abstract could be a little wider and includes the subtopics chosen throughout the article. However one might accept as this.	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
This article is a review in which I examined the structure and selection of themes and seems very appropriate.	

<b>5. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>6. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>5</b>

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<b>X</b>
Accepted, minor revisions needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

This sheet is to be returned to the author(s) of the manuscript. Please provide reasons for acceptance or rejection as well as any suggestions that you might feel are appropriate for revisions or improvements.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

.....

