Variable Reduction and Determinants of Working **Capital Management on Profits for Ghanaian Banks**

Abeku Atta Asare-Kumi Kwasi Adjepong Darkwah Ezekiel Nii Noye Nortey *Charlotte Chapman-Wardy* Department of Statistics, University of Ghana, Legon

doi: 10.19044/esj.2016.v12n7p316 URL:http://dx.doi.org/10.19044/esj.2016.v12n7p316

Abstract

Today, several factors has contributed to the profitability of Banks. Data collected on these factors often has several variables. It is a non-trivial exercise to determine which of the factors that significantly influences the profits of Banks. This paper adopts the use of Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on the several variables expected to influence the working capital management on the profits of banks of the Ghana Stock Exchange (GSE). Fifteen of the several variables captured by the GSE which affect working Fifteen of the several variables captured by the GSE which affect working capital management on profit were grouped into four factors using the principal component analysis. Results of the PCA identifies Convertibility factors, Risk Factor, Short term Liquidity, Operational factors, and Credit Risk factors to be the determinants of bank profits. Consequently, these factors are used to fit a linear regression model in identifying the most significant factors. Apart from credit risk factors, all the other factors were found to be significant predictors of the profit of Ghanaian banks. Investors, stakeholders, and managers of banks can use these factors to monitor and evaluate their working capital in generating profits.

Keywords: Working Capital Management, Principal Component Analysis, Linear Regression

Introduction

The management of working capital in any industry or business is a well thoughtful effort that cannot be over-emphasized. Kaur (2010) defined Working Capital Management as the administrative activities and decisions that have an effect on the size and efficacy of the working capital. The author explained further that management of current liabilities, current assets, and the association that exists between them constitute working capital

management. Over the years, the major concern of financial analysts and economists is the measurement of the association between working capital management and profit. However, the ratios of working capital management and cash flows are factors that affect the association of working capital. The term "cash" is made up of firm's cheques and balances, currencies, and coins in their banks account. Thus, fund differ in the sense that, it is a broader term than cash. Several companies define funds as working capital, which is having more current assets than currents liabilities. In view of this, working capital can be defined as the availability of cash for the daily running of banks. It is therefore expedient for banks to ensure effective working capital since shortage may slow down banking activities. On the other hand, excessive working capital may not result in favorable profits. Hence, there is the need for effective management of bank's working capital in order to ensure a stable and satisfactory working capital. The main aim of this study is to examine the factors that affect the management of working capital on the profits of banks of the Ghana Stock Exchange (GSE), and to extract a smaller number of variables from a larger set using Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Thus, the study employed eight variables for principal component analysis. These are Debt Collection Period (DCP), Creditors Payment Period (CPP), Bank Size (SIZE), Cash Conversion Cycle (CCC), Leverage (TDA), Bank Growth (GR), Exchange Rate Risk (ERR), and Risk (RISK). According to Jolliffe (2002), the main aim of the Principal

According to Jolliffe (2002), the main aim of the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is to minimize the dimensionality of a data set. This data set is made up of a large number of variables that are interrelated while withholding the variability available in the data set.

Literature review and conceptual frame work Eljelly (2004) sampled from the joint stock companies in Saudi Arabia and used correlation and regression analysis to examine current ratio and cash conversion cycle. This was done by assessing the association between liquidity and profitability. However, Eljelly found that current ratio has lesser effect on liquidity as compared to cash conversion cycle that affect profitability.

Deloof (2003) also examined the association between working capital management and profitability. Thus, he found that a significant negative association existed between gross operating income and inventories, the number of accounts receivable and accounts payable days of Belgian firms using regression tests and correlation. He recommended that managers should reduce their inventories and accounts receivable days in order to create value for their shareholders. The negative association between accounts payable and profitability, supported the conclusion of Raheman and

Nasr (2007). Thus, the conclusion states that firms which yield less profits often experience delay in the payment of their bills. Machiraju (2001) defined working capital management as the management of the current assets and the current liabilities of a firm. Van Horne (2000) is of the view that the management of working capital has to do with how a firm manages its current assets in the form of cash, receivables, market securities, and inventories. Thus, the association between a firm's short-term assets and its short-term liabilities constitute the management of working capital. In view of this, Khan and Jain (2007) stated that the problems that one encounter when managing the current assets, current liabilities, and the association between them constitutes working capital management.

In banks, such short term expenses may differ from other firms because of the peculiarity in the nature of business. Beside other day-to-day expenses, banks are expected to meet depositors' demand of withdrawal. This therefore means that working capital management in banks aim to ensure that the banks are able to continue their operations by having sufficient cash flow to satisfy both maturing short-term debt and upcoming operational expenses.

Demirgüç-Kunt and Detragianche (1998) examined the effect of banking environment and its characteristics on the margins of interest rate and bank returns using data on 80 countries for the period of 1988–1995. Therefore, their findings suggest that regulatory and macroeconomic conditions have an effect on margins and profitability. Using a dynamic panel model, Athanasoglou et al. (2005) researched the performance of Greek banks over the period 1985–2001. Also, they concluded that there is an imperfect competition in the market structure due to consistency in profit

to consistency in profit.

to consistency in profit. Deloof (2003) sampled 1,009 large Belgian non-financial firms for a period of 1992-1996. Furthermore, he used regression and correlation to investigate the relationship between working capital and corporate profitability. The study identified a significant negative association between gross operating income and the Cash Conversion Cycles of Belgian firms. Lazaridis and Tryfonidis (2006) sampled 131 firms listed on the Athens Stock Exchange for the period of 2001–2004. They used regression to analyze the correlation between working capital management and corporate profitability. In addition, they identified a significant correlation between profitability and the cash conversion cycle. Samiloglu and Demirgunes (2008) also ascertained that inventory period, receivables period, and leverage have a negative significant effect on the profit, while firm growth (in sales) have a positive effect on the profit of Turkish manufacturing firms. They sampled 5, 843 Turkish listed

manufacturing companies for the period of 1998- 2007, and used multiple regression model to analyze the impact of working capital management on profit.

The findings of Falope and Ajilore (2009) in their study using a pooled regression on a sample of 50 Nigerians quoted non-financial firms for the period of 1996-2005 where cross-sectional and time-series observations were estimated, revealed a significant negative correlation between cash conversion cycle and net operating profitability.

Materials and Methods

Audited annual financial reports collected from 10 Banks listed on Ghana Stock Exchange (GSE), over a period of eight years from 2006-2015, were used in the study.

Fifteen independent variables that were expected to have an effect on working capital management on profits of banks were also selected for the study (Agyei & Yeboah, 2011; Yeboah & Yeboah, 2014). The variables, the categories they belong to, and their definition are shown in Table 1 below. Thus, the variables are grouped under five main categories to represent the firm's convertibility of assets into cash, operating asset efficiency, short term liquidity and movement of Debtors and Creditors, the policy of the firm, and Risk (Arunkumar and Radharamanan, 2013; Nortey et al., 2016).

Types of Variable	Category	Definition
1.Cash Conversion Cycle (CCC)	Short term liquidity	The difference between debtors collection period
		and creditors payment.
2.Debtors Collection	Policy factor	The ratio of bank
Period (DCP)		current assets to interest
		income in 365.
3.Creditors Payment	Policy factor	The ratio of bank short-
Period (CPP)		term debt to interest expense in 365.
4.Bank Size (SIZE)	Convertibility factor	Natural logarithm of
	-	total assets.
5.Leverage(TDA)	Operational factor	The ratio of total debt to
		total net assets.
6.Risk (RISK)	Risk factor	Standard deviation of
		profitability.
7.Bank Growth	Short term liquidity	Year on year change in
(GROWTH)		interest income between
		current years.
8.Exchange Rate	Risk factor	Annual Standard
Risk (ERR)		Deviation of Official
9.Current Ratio (CR)	Convertibility factor	Exchange rate
10.Quick Ratio (QR)	Short term Liquidity	The ratio of current to
11.Current Assets to Total	Convertibility factor	current liabilities.
Assets (CATA)		It measures how a
12.Current Liabilities to	Convertibility factor	company meets its

Table 1. Variables Description

Total Assets (CLTA) 13.Credit Risk (CRISK)	Risk factor	short-term obligations with its most liquid assets		
		The ratio of current		
		assets to total assets.		
		The risk that some		
		borrowers may not be		
		able to pay the principal and its associated interest when due.		
14. Cash Flow (CF)	Short term liquidity	The ratio of current		
		It is the net amount of cash and cash-		
		equivalents moving into		
15.Current Assets to Total Sales	Convertibility factor	and out of a bank.		
		The ratio of current		
		assets to total sales.		

Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Principal components are those linear combinations $X_1, X_2, ..., X_p$ which are not correlated, and whose variances $Var(X_i) = b_i \sum b_i, i = 1, 2, ..., p$ are as large as possible. Given $Cov(X_i, X_k) = b_i \sum b_k, i, k = 1, 2, ..., p$, where $b_i = b_{i1}, b_{i2}, ..., b_{ip}$ are the weights, then:

$$b_i b_i = 1$$

$$b_i b_i = 0 \text{ for all } i \neq j$$
(1)

The first principal component is the linear combination b_1X that maximizes $Var(b_1 X)$ subject to $b_1b_1 = 1$. However, this accounts for the greatest variance in the data. The second principal component is also a linear combination $b_2 X$ that maximizes $Var(b_2 X)$ subject to which $b_2b_2 = 1$ and $Cov(b_1 X, b_2 X) = 0$. However, this accounts for the greatest of the remaining variance in the data and so on. The number of variables in the data set is reduced for the interpretation or analysis of the correlation among the variables when the first principal component caters for a greater portion of the variance in the original data (Johnson and Wichern, 2007).

Suppose each of the bank's financial statements is an observed variable $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_p$ with weights $b_{ij}, i = 1, 2, ..., p, j = 1, 2, ..., p$, then the principal component $X_1, X_2, ..., X_p$ are given by:

$$X_{1} = b_{11}y_{1} + b_{12}y_{2} + \dots + b_{1p}y_{p}$$

$$X_{2} = b_{21}y_{1} + b_{22}y_{2} + \dots + b_{2p}y_{p}$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$X_{p} = b_{k1}y_{1} + b_{k2}y_{2} + \dots + b_{kp}y_{p}$$
(1)

Let the random variable Y_i (i = 1, 2, ..., p) have mean μ_i and the standard deviation σ_{ii} . Thus, the transformed standard variables Z_i (i = 1, 2, ..., p) is given as:

$$Z_i = \frac{Y_i - \mu_i}{\sigma_{ii}} \tag{4}$$

Furthermore, the vector of the standardized variables could be written in matrix notation as:

$$Z = (V^{1/2})^{-1}(Y - \mu)$$
(5)

where $\mu' = (\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_k)$ and $V^{1/2}$ is the diagonal standard deviation matrix given by:

$$V^{1/2} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{ii} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma_{kk} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$E[Z_i] = 0, Var[Z_i] = 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, k \text{ and } Cov(Z) = (V^{1/2})^{-1} \sum (V^{1/2})^{-1} = \rho$$
where the variance-covariance matrix \sum and the correlation matrix ρ of Y

are given by:

$$\sum = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11}^2 & \sigma_{12}^2 & \cdots & \sigma_{1k}^2 \\ \sigma_{21}^2 & \sigma_{22}^2 & \cdots & \sigma_{2k}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{k1}^2 & \sigma_{k2}^2 & \cdots & \sigma_{kk}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rho = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{\sigma_{12}^2}{\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}} & \cdots & \frac{\sigma_{1k}^2}{\sigma_{11}\sigma_{kk}} \\ \frac{\sigma_{12}^2}{\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}} & 1 & \cdots & \frac{\sigma_{2k}^2}{\sigma_{22}\sigma_{kk}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\sigma_{1k}^2}{\sigma_{11}\sigma_{kk}} & \frac{\sigma_{2k}^2}{\sigma_{22}\sigma_{kk}} & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

And

 $\rho_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} (y_{ki} - \mu_i)(y_{kj} - \mu_j)}{n}, i \neq j \text{ is the covariance between variables}$ $Y_i \text{ and } Y_j, \text{ each of which has } n \text{ observations.}$

The *p* principal components $X' = [X_1, X_2, ..., X_p]$ are derived from the eigenvectors of the correlation matrix of ρ of *Y* given by:

X = B'Zwhere $B = [e_1, e_2, ..., e_k]$ and the $e_i s, i = 1, 2, ..., k$ are the eigenvectors of ρ .

The eigenvalue -eigenvector pairs $(\lambda_1, e_1), (\lambda_2, e_2), ..., (\lambda_k, e_k)$ of ρ are such that

$$\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_k \ge 0, e_i \cdot e_i = 1 \text{ and } e_i \cdot e_j = 0,$$

$$Var(X_i) = e_i \rho e_i = \lambda_i$$
And
(6)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} Var(\mathbf{X}_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} Var(\mathbf{Z}_{i}) = P$$
(7)

Subsequently, the proportion of the total variance in the data which is explained by the X_j is given by the proportion $\frac{\lambda_j}{p}$. This is called communality.

Where j = 1, 2, ..., p and the λ_j 's are the eigenvalues of ρ .

The loading of the standardized variable Z_j which is a correlation between each principal component X_i and its corresponding standardized variable Z_j is given by:

$$Corr(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{Z}_j) = e_{ij} \cdot \lambda_j^{(\frac{1}{2})}$$
(8)

Where the loading of the standardized variable Z_j is between -1 and 1 inclusive.

Therefore, this shows the degree to which every Z_j affects every X_i which is conditioned on the influence of the other variables Z_m , $j \neq m$ (Johnson and Wichern, 2007). The variable becomes more influential in naming and interpreting the component when there is a higher absolute value of a loading of a variable on a component. Also, the size of the sample determines the significance of the magnitude of a loading. A loading of an absolute value of at least 0.30 and 0.35 with respective sample sizes of 350 and 250 is suggested by Hair et al. (2006). This shows that a smaller sample size requires a greater absolute loading values. Sharma (1996) recommended a loading of magnitude which is more than or equal to 0.50. However, the principal component being used as an index involves the establishment of principal component scores and factor loadings. The

principal component scores which is the i^{th} principal component is derived by putting the standardized observed values of the variables into the equation as shown below:

$$X_i = e'_i Z$$

(9)

 $X_i = e_i Z$ (9) The number of observed variables being examined is the same as the number of components derived in the principal component analysis. This is because only the first few components account for a greater amount of the variance in the original data. Thus, these few components are sustained, interpreted, and are involved in subsequent analysis. The principal component analysis is used because the main aim of the study is to acquire a smaller number of factors that can explain the greatest variability that exist in the observed variables. However, the extraction and the significance of factors depend on factors with eigenvalues that is greater than 1. The value 1 is the SPSS default setting Kaiser stopping criterion for selecting the number of factors to be extracted.

be extracted.

be extracted. The Kaiser-Meyer- Olkin (KMO) statistic which measures sampling adequacy is employed. Therefore, if the value is greater than or equal to 0.5, the sample is regarded to be adequate. Also, when the probability value of Bartlett's Test of Sphericity is less than the significance level, the principal component analysis can be used for the analysis. The magnitude of the factor loadings, communalities, or sampling adequacy measures are used for making decisions irrespective of the sign of its value. However, this is because the sign determines the direction of the association. The plus (+) and minus (-) signs indicate a direct and inverse relationship respectively. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) will be used to examine the 8 variables that affect working capital management of the profits of banks in reducing variables that are interrelated in order to acquire variables that are not correlated. Rotation of the factors is used for helping in the interpretation of analysis. Consequently, the amount of variance and factors extracted are not affected by the rotation of the factors.

not affected by the rotation of the factors. The orthogonal rotation method called Varimax will be used since our main objective is to reduce the variables that affect working capital management on the profits of banks. The variability of the squared loadings is maximized on all the variables in the matrix that contains only the factors.

The impact of these factors extracted using Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on profit is analyzed using regression analysis. It is used to project to the extent a unit change, in each factor extracted using Principal Component, has on profitability. Hence, this is modelled using the following general regression equation:

$$Y_{it} = \alpha_0 + \sum_{all}^n \beta_i X_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Where Y_{it} = Profitability of firm *i* at time *t*.

 α_0 = The intercept of the equation

 β_i = Coefficient of X_{it}

 X_{it} = Independent variable of firm *i* at time *t*

t = time from 1, 2, ..., 10 years (from year 2006 to 2015)

 ε_{it} = The error term

Linear Regression

Linear regression attempts to model the relationship between a dependent variable and a set of independent variables by fitting a linear equation to observed data. One variable is considered to be a dependent variable, while the other(s) is/are considered to be an independent variable(s). Thus, this is the study of *linear*, additive relationships between variables. When only one independent variable exists, a Simple Linear Regression is the most appropriate in explaining the relationship between the two variables. When a scatter plot of the two variables shows a clustering of points close to a straight line, a linear relationship is said to exist between the two variables.

A simple linear regression model is of the form;

 $Y_i = \beta_o + \beta_1 X_i + \varepsilon_i$ (10) Where Y_i and X_i are the response and independent variables, respectively.

 β_o and β_1 are the regression parameters to be estimated, and ε_i is the random error.

A line that best fits the data is one for which the prediction errors are as small as possible in some overall sense. Thus, one way to achieve this goal is to adopt the least squares criterion, which minimizes the sum of the squared prediction errors.

 β_0 and β_1 are estimated by b_0 and b_1 such that they Hence, minimize.

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$
(11)

The estimated model is therefore given by:

 $\hat{y}_i = b_o + b_1 X_i$

(12)

(13)

Subsequently, where there are more than one independent variable, the model that best explains the relationship is referred to as the multiple linear regression model. The multiple linear regression model is of the form:

 $Y_i = \beta_o + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_i + \dots + \beta_p X_p + \varepsilon_i$

Where Y_i , $X_1, X_2, ..., X_p$ are the response and p- independent variables, respectively.

 $\beta_o, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{p-1}$ are the regression parameters to be estimated by the method of least squares, and ε_i is the random error.

The regression model assumes that a linear relationship exists between the dependent and independent variables. It also assumes that the random error component are independent and identically distributed as normal with mean zero (0) and variance σ^2 .

For more on regression analysis, correlation, and coefficient of determination, refer to the study of Montgomery, Peck and Vining (2012) and Weisberg (2005).

Findings and Discussions

Summary Statistics

The Summary statistics of 10 banks over a period of 8 years for the mean, the standard deviation, skewness, and kurtosis for all the variables that have an effect on working capital management on profit is shown in Table 2 below.

Variables	Ν	Mean	S.D	Skewness	Kurtosis
ROA	100	0.166770	0.595396	3.886	27.890
SIZE	100	29.95830	32.443495	1.451	0.715
CCC	100	83.67860	27.145268	2.949	15.180
DCP	100	98.91900	15.050338	1.134	2.576
TDA	100	99.83430	25.958365	-0.180	1.454
CPP	100	69.99130	16.406486	1.658	1.333
RISK	100	2.764670	1.2781725	-0.284	-0.807
ERR	100	0.390170	0.1452950	1.196	-0.509
CRISK	100	11.54670	5.2068889	1.825	4.523
CR	100	0.545320	0.1430800	1.124	1.923
CLTA	100	0.161020	0.0334504	-0.403	0.589
CF	100	0.390310	0.2346711	4.621	23.578
QR	100	2.73270	1.100090	0.384	-0.565
GR	100	0.385020	0.1612966	-0.070	-0.566
CATS	100	0.152600	0.043707	0.141	0.529
CATA	100	0.190980	0.0674057	1.067	1.581

Principal Component Results

This part shows the results of the principal component analysis. The P-value of Kaiser-Meyer -Olkin was 0.657, indicating that the sample adequacy was good. The Bartlett's Test of Sphericity was significant at 0.00, showing that the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) can be performed on the data.

The Communalities of each variable is shown in Table 3. This indicates that the extracted factors accounts for the variability in the variables. For instance, over 94.9% of the variance in CR is explained and accounted for, while over 91.7% of the variance in RISK is also explained and accounted for.

Table 3. The Communalities of the Variables						
Variables	CR	RISK	GR	TDA	CRISK	
Initial	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Extraction	0.949	0.917	0.771	0.757	0.680	

The eigenvalues showing the importance of each of the 15 principal components is found in Table 4. The first five eigenvalues are above 1.00 which explains over 71.548% of the total variance in the data. Therefore, we can conclude that five factor solution will be adequate.

Component		% Variance of Initial	Cumulative % of Initial
		Eigen values	Eigen
1	4.317	28.779	28.779
2	2.429	16.193	44.972
3	1.604	10.692	55.664
4	1.354	9.028	64.692
5	1.028	6.856	71.548
6	0.945	6.301	77.849
7	0.818	5.455	83.304
8	0.702	4.678	87.982
9	0.581	3.876	91.858
10	0.438	2.923	94.780
11	0.361	2.409	97.190
12	0.258	1.718	98.908
13	0.091	0.605	99.513
14	0.048	0.318	99.831
15	0.025	0.169	100.000

Table 4. Total Variance Explained

However, the factor loadings result from Varimax rotation of the 8 independent variables that affect working capital management on profits of banks is shown in Table 5 below.

Table 5. Kotaled Component Matrix						
Factors	1	2	3	4	5	
CATS	0.271	0.615	0.275	0.090	0.186	
SIZE	-0.163	-0.108	-0.795	0.210	0.015	
CCC	0.005	-0.105	-0.158	0.102	-0.605	
DCP	0.144	-0.250	-0.045	0.669	0.000	
TDA	-0.053	0.213	0.120	0.831	-0.069	
CPP	0.939	0.216	0.076	0.071	-0.008	
CATA	0.954	-0.038	0.005	-0.024	0.035	
ERR	0.028	0.243	0.762	-0.270	0.08	
RISK	0.018	0.929	0.206	-0.095	0.048	
CR	0.963	0.110	0.078	0.053	0.025	
CLTA	0.228	0.219	0.463	-0.321	0.471	
CF	0.343	0.268	0.221	-0.198	0.370	
GR	-0.046	0.148	0.834	0.056	0.219	
CRISK	-0.057	-0.182	-0.190	0.426	0.653	
QR	0.097	0.888	0.102	-0.044	-0.010	

Table 5. Rotated Component Matrix

However, the SPSS results of the selected variables, their factor loadings, and the categories they belong to after using the Principal Component Analysis and Varimax as the rotation method are shown in Table 6 below. The variables are categorized into five factors, namely: Policy factor, convertibility factor, and operational factor.

 Table 6. Selected Variables after Factor Analysis

Factor	Variables	Code	Factor	Category
			Loading	
1	Current	CR	0.963	Convertibility factor
	Ratio			
2	Risk	RISK	0.929	Risk Factor
3	Bank	GROWTH	0.834	Short term Liquidity
4	Growth	TDA	0.831	Operational factor
	Leverage			
5	Credit Risk	CREDITRISK	0.653	Credit Risk Factor

Multiple Regression Analysis

The impact of the factors extracted using principal component analysis on profit was modelled and estimated using multiple regression technique given as:

 $ROA_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 CR_{it} + \beta_2 RISK_{it} + \beta_3 GROWTH_{it} + \beta_4 TDA_{it} + \beta_5 CREDITRISK_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$

Durbin Watson (D-W) and Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) statistics was analyzed to check for the presence of autocorrelation and multicollinearity in the data, respectively. It is clear from Table 8 that the statistics are within the limit which make us conclude that there is no autocorrelation and multicollinearity in the data. Therefore, the highest value of VIF statistics acquired is 1.204. According to literature, VIF's of 10 or higher became a concern to researchers (Gujarati & Sangeetha, 2008). D-W

statistics value was found to be 1.112 for the multiple regression model. Durbin-Watson statistic ranges in value from 0 to 4 with a value of 1.111 indicating that the errors are not correlated and the D-W is considered acceptable (Makori and Jagongo, 2013). D-W value indicating no collinearity was considered by Makridakis and Wheelwright (1978) to be between 1.5 and 2.5.

Table 7. Multiple Linear Regression Results						
Parameter	Coefficient	t-value	Sign.	Tolerance	VIF	
Constant	0.167	0.365	0.716			
CR	-1.114	-2.097	0.039**	0.976	1.025	
RISK	0.304	4.710	0.000***	0.831	1.204	
GR	2.065	4.149	0.000***	0.874	1.144	
TDA	-0.006	-2.052	0.043**	0.958	1.044	
CRISK	-0.011	-0.732	0.466	0.939	1.065	

. Table 7 Multiple Lipson Decreasion Decrite

*, **, and *** denotes significance level at 10%, 5% & 1% levels, respectively. Source: 2006-2015 GLSS6 Income Data, SPSS Output

From Table 8, the regression equation is given as: $ROA_{it} = 0.405 - 1.114CR_{it} + 0.304RISK_{it} + 2.065GR_{it} - 0.006TDA_{it} - 0.006CRISK_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$

The regression results in Table 8 indicates that the coefficient of CR is negative with -0.011 (p-value =0.039). Subsequently, this shows that CR is not statistically significant at 1% level of significance. In addition, this suggests that, despite the fact that CR is good for explaining the progress in the finances of the listed banks in Ghana Stock Exchange, it is not an important factor to consider when making critical decisions to increase profitability. An increase in Return on Assets increases GR and RISK, but decreases with leverage (TDA). The CRISK which is a theoretical measure of risk has no significant effect on the profits of the listed banks in Ghana Stock Exchange.

Furthermore, the overall multiple linear regression is statistically significant. This is shown with F-value of 12.763 (p<0.001). The model's adjusted R^2 of 0.404 shows that 40.4% of the differences in a firm's profit can be explained by the model.

Conclusion

There are several internal and external factors that affect working capital management on the profits of banks. The aim of the study is to use principal component analysis to analyse the interrelationship among the independent variables and to obtain a smaller set of variables from the many variables that affect working capital management on the profits of banks with a minimum loss of information. The principal component analysis is applied on 15 selected variables from 10 banks listed on the Ghana Stock Exchange. Investors, policy holders, or managers of banks can use the

factors extracted using principal component analysis to monitor and evaluate

their working capital to produce profits. In conclusion, the results of the variables that affect working capital management on the profits of banks can be grouped into four factors. Hence, at least, operational, short term liquidity, and risk factors are chosen to represent the variables that affect working capital management on the profits

represent the variables that affect working capital management on the profits of banks after the principal component analysis. Finally, this paper is limited to studies or research conducted in Ghana on the selection and usage of the most important variables when analyzing the variables that affect working capital management on the profits of banks. It will also contribute to knowledge in Ghana and Africa as a whole since there are only few researches conducted using principal component analysis to extract factors from several variables that affect working capital.

References:

Agyei & Yeboah (2011). Working Capital Management and Profitability of Banks in Ghana. British Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Sciences, Vol. 2 (2).

Arunkumar & Radharamanan (2013). Variables Affecting Working Capital Management of Indian Manufacturing Firms: Factor Analysis Approach. International Journal of Financial Management, vol 3 Issue 2. Athanasoglou, Brissimis & Delis (2005). Bank-Specific, Industry- Specific and Macroeconomic Determinants of Bank Profitability. Deloof (2003). Does working capital management affect profitability of

Belgian firms? Journal of Business Finance and Accounting, 30(3&4), 573-587.

Demirguc-Kunt and Detragianche (1998) The determinants of Banking Crises in Developing and Developed Countries, International Monetary Fund, Vol. 45, No. 1, pp. 81 – 109. Eljelly (2004). Liquidity-Profitability tradeoff:An empirical investigation in an emerging market. International Journal of Commerce & Management,

Vol 14:48-61.

Falope & Ajilore (2009). Working capital management and corporate profitability: evidence from panel data analysis of selected quoted companies in Nigeria. Research Journal of Business Management, 3, 73-84. Hair et al. (2006). Multivariate Data Analysis. 6th ed. Pearson Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, USA. Johnson & Wichern (2007).Applied multivariate statistical analysis. USA:

Pearson Education, Inc.

Jolliffe (2002). Principal Component Analysis, Second Edition. Springer.

Kaur (2010). Working capital management in Indian Tyre industry. International Research Journal of Finance and Economics, (46), 7-15. Khan & Jain (2007). Financial Management. Tax, Problems and Cases. (5th

ed.). Tata, MC Graw: Hill Publishing Company Limited.

Lazaridis & Tryfonidis (2006). Relationship between working capital management and profitability of listed companies in the Athens stock exchange. Journal of Financial Management and Analysis, 19(1), 26-35. Machiraju (2001). Introduction to Project Finance and an Analytical

Perspective. Vikas.

Montgomery, Peck, and Vining (2012). Introduction to Linear Regression Analysis, 5th Edition. Wiley

Nortey, Darkwah, Asare-Kumi and Iddi (2016). 'Determinants of Working Capital Management on Profit for Manufacturing Firms', The International

Journal of Business& Management. Vol 4, Issue 1, pp.302-308. Raheman & Nasr (2007). 'Working capital management and profitability – case of Pakistani firms', International Review of Business Research Papers. Samiloglu & Demirgunes (2008), 'The effect of working capital management on firm Profitability: Evidence from Turkey', The Int. J. Applied Econ. Finance, 2: 44-50.

Sharma (1996). Applied Multivariate Techniques. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., USA.

Van Horne (2000). Fundamentals of financial management, (11th Ed.). Prentice Hall Inc.

Weisberg (2005), Applied Linear Regression, Third Edition. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics

Yeboah & Yeboah (2014). The Effect of Working Capital Management of Ghana Banks on Profitability: Panel Approach. International Journal of Business and Social Science, Vol.5, N0.10.