
ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial teamis a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 6 April 2016	Date Manuscript Review Submitted:6 April 2016
Manuscript Title: CHARACTERISTICS OF THE DEMOGRAPHIC DEVELOPMENT OF CIS: A CASE STUDY OF UZBEKISTAN	
ESJ Manuscript Number:0357/16	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-lesspoint rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(abrief explanation for 3-less point rating)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(abrief explanation for 3-less point rating)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(abrief explanation for 3-less point rating)</i>	

4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>(abrief explanation for 3-less point rating)</i>	
5. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(abrief explanation for 3-less point rating)</i>	
6. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>(abrief explanation for 3-less point rating)</i>	

Overall Recommendation(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The layout of the first page does not correspond to the ESJ requirements.

Mistakes in punctuation: writing percentage figures with a comma rather than a period (Tables 3, 4, and 5);

The official name of the Republic of Uzbekistan should be used throughout the paper of this type (in some other parts of the text we find “Uzbekistan Republic”);

p.2, Literature Review: Names of some scholars are written with initials of their first names, in some other names, no first name initials are written. Moreover, there is a misprint in Keynes’ name. (Examples: **Smith A., J. Keynes,** Rosset,.....**Rybakovsiy (2000),**

Medkov M., Rimashevskaya et al. (2004), Kvasha (1985), Iontsev A., Kalinyuk (1975), Urlanis S., Ermakov S., Pirozhkov (1976), Korakhonov M., Mullazhonov (1983), Kamilova F., Ota-Mirzaev O., Maksakov L., Saliev et al. (2005), Kayumov A., Burieva

p.2, mezo (pole)—should be changed into mezzo

p. 3, Table 1: the name of the last country is written in the Cyrillic (unless, of course, it is deliberate)

European Scientific Journal
European Scientific Institute

