

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name:	Email:
Date Manuscript Received: April, 12, 2016	Date Manuscript Review Submitted: April, 13, 2016
Manuscript Title:	
Development of community psychiatric crisis management indicators and assessment framework in taiwan: a focus group study	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-less point rating.

<i><b>Questions</b></i>	<i><b>Rating Result</b></i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(a brief explanation for 3-less point rating)</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(a brief explanation for 3-less point rating)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(a brief explanation for 3-less point rating)</i>	

<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(a brief explanation for 3-less point rating)</i>	
<b>5. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(a brief explanation for 3-less point rating)</i>	
<b>6. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(a brief explanation for 3-less point rating)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

This study is an excellent article with practical oriented topics and helps to establish the Community Psychiatric Crisis Management (CPCM) Model for community-dwelling psychiatric patients in Taiwan as well as in the world. There are some suggestions for the authors to revise:

1. There are four indicators that include medical care seeking behaviors, psychiatric symptom severity and impact, history of violence and substance abuse, and protective factors of family and social support system to assess the level of crisis severity. I would recommend the subheadings for this section could be addressed the same as the aforementioned four indicators.
2. The recommended CPCM model enabled community mental health care professionals' assessment and management the patient's crisis problems in three stages, from crisis, acute and maintenance stage. This section needs to be elaborated in details for the clinical practice apply the CPCM model in different stage of crisis.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

This is an excellent article with practical oriented topics for clinical practice. There are some suggestions for the authors to revise:

1. There are four indicators that include medical care seeking behaviors, psychiatric symptom severity and impact, history of violence and substance abuse, and protective factors of family and social support system to assess the level of crisis severity. I would recommend the subheadings for this section could be addressed the same as the aforementioned four indicators.
2. The recommended CPCM model enabled community mental health care professionals'

assessment and management the patient's crisis problems in three stages, from crisis, acute and maintenance stage. This section needs to be elaborated in details for the clinical practice apply the CPCM model in different stage of crisis.

I will recommend this article to be published after minor revised.

