
ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	Email:
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Manuscript Review Submitted:
Manuscript Title: CARACTERIZACIÓN ECOLÓGICA CUANTITATIVA DE LA VEGETACIÓN EN SITIOS DE LA COSTA CENTRAL DEL GOLFO EN EL DESIERTO SONORENSE.	
ESJ Manuscript Number: n132.	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-less point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>El título es adecuado y corresponde con el contenido del artículo.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>El resumen se presenta de manera clara los objetivos, metodología y los resultados.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Se presentan errores en la redacción de la conjugación de los verbos (presente y pasado).</i>	

4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>La metodología está clara y representa la realización del trabajo, aunque no parece el título de materiales y métodos..</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
<i>Se tienen errores de conjugación en los verbos y escritura de los nombres científicos.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>Las conclusiones están claras, aunque pueden ser reducidas o sintetizadas.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>Se requiere la actualización de algunas de las referencias.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Se deben actualizar algunas referencias y cuidar la conjugación de los verbos (presente y pasado).

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Se deben actualizar algunas referencias y cuidar la conjugación de los verbos (presente y pasado).

European Scientific Journal
European Scientific Institute

