ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	**Email:**
Date Manuscript Received: 05/07/2017	**Date Manuscript Review Submitted:** 06/07/2017
Manuscript Title: EL APRENDIZAJE SIGNIFICATIVO COMO ESTRATEGIA DE ESTIMULACIÓN DE LA ESCRITURA DEL IDIOMA INGLÉS EN EDUCACIÓN GENERAL BÁSICA	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0756/2017	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-less point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
El título engloba de manera adecuada lo tratado en todo el artículo.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
El resumen es claro, aunque hace falta visibilizar los resultados de la investigación.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
Existen algunos errores gramaticales más de forma que de fondo, que deberían ser revisados, por ejemplo errores de género, pluralidad, singularidad, utilización de espacios, acentuación, entre otros.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
Los métodos y técnicas de estudio son explicados de forma clara y precisa.	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
El artículo presenta una secuencia lógica, bien definida que lleva al lector a entender cómo fue realizada la investigación.	

6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	**4**
Hace falta mejorar la redacción de las conclusiones, sin embargo las mismas reflejan el estudio realizado en la investigación.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	**5**
Se utiliza una bibliografía, extensa, actual y adecuada a la investigación realizada.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	**X**
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Revisar las erratas de forma, existentes en cuanto a la gramática del documento, antes de ser publicado.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Artículo apto para publicación.