

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	Email:
Date Manuscript Received: 10/06/2017	Date Manuscript Review Submitted: 14/06/2017
Manuscript Title: Nurses' Awareness of Infection Control Measures, and the Role and Effect in Patient and Family Education	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 06116/17	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-less point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>The title is shown into details during the entire article, and very well mentioned in abstract and conclusion.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>It clearly presents the necessary details(Background, Objectives, Methodology and results) In the conclusion and recommendations part of the abstract, some data of results are included, we would suggest to move those data to the Methodology and Results part.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>Very well written. Absence of errors.</i>	

4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>Quantitative study well organized and questionnaires were distributed for 260 nurses and patients.</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
<i>Very Clear</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>The study showed that nurses who had a high awareness in infection control educate more their patients and family members on these measures than nurses with low awareness.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>Very rich and new references</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revisions needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: