
ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommend as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: August 6, 2017	Date Manuscript Review Submitted: August 14, 2017
Manuscript Title: High Trait Anger, Interpersonal Context, and the Recognition of Anger Problems	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-less point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> The title is adequate	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> The objective, method and main results are clearly presented in the summary.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> It is suggested to review some aspects of writing	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i>	

It clearly explains all elements of the method	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> In general terms the manuscript has the important elements and does not show major problems as regards its elements	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> The conclusions are adequate	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(a brief explanation is recommendable)</i> A review of the literature appropriate to the subject and recent. It is suggested to review some references errors (see attached document)	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The article shows interesting findings that contribute to a greater understanding of anger, in general has no major problems, only some details of references that it is advisable to adjust.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The article shows empirical elements that contribute to the generation of an advance in the knowledge of the subject of the anger, besides, it does not show major theoretical or methodological problems, nor of information analysis. It is recommended to publish.